

# Table of Contents

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| Abbreviations  | 19        |
| <b>I Introduction and Antecedents</b>                                | <b>21</b> |
| § 1 Introduction   | 21        |
| § 2 Antecedents  | 25        |
| 1. Historical context  | 25        |
| 2. The general theory of fundamental rights in Chile                 | 30        |
| 3. The Chilean system of constitutional justice                      | 31        |
| 3.1. The “remedy of protection”                                      | 32        |
| 3.1.1. Description   | 32        |
| 3.1.2. Analysis  | 33        |
| 4. The positive text on human dignity in the CPR                     | 34        |
| 4.1. The constitutional chapter on “Institutional Foundations”       | 34        |
| 4.2. Antecedents of the dignity clause of the CPR                    | 37        |
| 4.2.1. Previous constitutional texts                                 | 37        |
| 4.2.2. Materials of the CPR  | 38        |
| 4.2.2.1. The proceedings of the CSNC                                 | 38        |
| 4.2.2.2. The proceedings of the State Council                        | 44        |
| 5. Some introductory remarks on dignity and Art 5, par. 2 of the CPR | 45        |
| 5.1. Status of international treaties on human rights                | 45        |
| 5.2. “Essential rights which emanate from human nature:” analysis    | 46        |
| 6. Final considerations  | 47        |

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <b>II Human Dignity in Chile</b>  | <b>49</b> |
| § 3 Content of human dignity  | 49        |
| 1. Scholarship  | 49        |
| 1.1. Description of sources   | 49        |
| 1.2. Dignity as a value or principle  | 50        |
| 1.3. Conceptualizations of dignity  | 52        |
| 1.3.1. The concept of human dignity in the work of Humberto Nogueira                    | 52        |
| 1.3.2. José Luis Cea's approach to dignity  | 55        |
| 1.3.3. Other understandings of human dignity  | 56        |
| 1.3.3.1. Summary of other understandings  | 56        |
| 1.3.3.2. The remarks of Eduardo Aldunate  | 59        |
| 1.4. Foundation of human dignity  | 59        |
| 1.4.1. Ruling opinion   | 59        |
| 1.4.2. Other approaches   | 61        |
| 2. The jurisprudence of the Chilean Constitutional Tribunal                             | 61        |
| 2.1. Legal form   | 61        |
| 2.2. Concept and content of human dignity   | 62        |
| 2.3. Holders of human dignity   | 63        |
| 2.4. Effects of human dignity on the legal doctrine of fundamental rights               | 64        |
| 3. Summary  | 64        |
| 4. Evaluation and criticism   | 66        |
| 4.1. General remarks  | 66        |
| 4.2. Indistinct characterization of the term as a principle or value                    | 66        |
| 4.3. Indistinct substrate of dignity  | 67        |
| 4.4. Connection to the positive constitutional text                                     | 67        |
| 5. Open questions – construction of an operative concept supported by the positive text | 68        |
| § 4 Foundation of basic rights  | 69        |
| 1. Scholarship  | 69        |
| 2. Case law   | 70        |
| 2.1. Foundation of all rights in general  | 70        |

|  |    |
|--|----|
| 2.2. Foundation of concrete rights   | 71 |
| 2.2.1. The legal doctrine of strictly personal rights  | 71 |
| 2.2.2. Implicit rights derived from dignity  | 72 |
| 2.2.2.1. Right to personal identity  | 72 |
| 2.2.2.2. Right to conscientious objection  | 73 |
| 2.2.2.3. Right to free development of personality  | 74 |
| 3. Evaluation, criticism, and open questions   | 74 |
| 3.1. Lack of strong positive-legal text support for dignity as the foundation of rights in general | 74 |
| 3.2. Theory of strictly personal rights: not sufficiently convincing                               | 75 |
| 3.3. Implicit rights – risk of arbitrariness   | 75 |
| <br>   |    |
| § 5 Ownership of basic rights  | 77 |
| 1. Description of sources  | 77 |
| 2. Scholarship   | 78 |
| 2.1. The civil law-based concept of a constitutional person  | 78 |
| 2.2. Non-civil-law-based concepts of a constitutional person                                       | 79 |
| 2.2.1. Approaches not based on positive law  | 79 |
| 2.2.2. Approaches based on constitutional norms  | 82 |
| 2.2.2.1. Vivanco’s approach  | 82 |
| 2.2.2.2. Aldunate and the confusing wording of the CPR   | 83 |
| 3. Case law  | 83 |
| 3.1. Rulings not based on civil law norms  | 83 |
| 3.2. Change of criterion   | 85 |
| 4. Analysis and criticism  | 85 |
| 4.1. Criticism of the non-civil-law-based conceptualization of a person                            | 85 |
| 4.2. Criticism of the civil law-based conceptualization of a person                                | 86 |
| 5. Open questions: the role of dignity as a criterion for the attribution of legal personhood      | 86 |
| <br>   |    |
| § 6 Restrictions on basic rights   | 87 |
| 1. Introduction  | 87 |
| 2. The case law of the CCT   | 87 |

## *Table of Contents*

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| 3. Summary and open questions  | 90        |
| <b>III Human Dignity in Germany</b>  | <b>91</b> |
| § 7 Content of human dignity   | 91        |
| 1. Introduction  | 91        |
| 1.1. Preliminary   | 91        |
| 1.2. Historical semblance  | 95        |
| 1.3. Basic rights as elements of an “objective order of values” and the place of dignity within this order | 97        |
| 2. The legitimation of human dignity   | 99        |
| 2.1. Theories on the legitimation of human dignity   | 102       |
| 2.1.1. Theory of Dowry   | 102       |
| 2.1.1.1. Transcendental versions of the Theory of Dowry  | 102       |
| 2.1.1.2. Immanentist versions of the Theory of Dowry   | 104       |
| 2.1.1.3. Reception and critique of the Theory of Dowry   | 106       |
| 2.1.2. Theory of Performance   | 110       |
| 2.1.3. Theory of Recognition   | 115       |
| 3. Legal form of dignity   | 117       |
| 3.1. Human dignity as a principle  | 119       |
| 3.1.1. Dürig’s seminal position of dignity as a principle  | 120       |
| 3.1.2. Böckenförde’s extension of Dürig’s approach   | 121       |
| 3.1.3. Dreier – drawing the doctrinal consequences   | 121       |
| 3.1.4. Enders and Kirste: dignity as the right to have rights  | 122       |
| 3.2. Dignity as a subjective right   | 123       |
| 3.2.1. Respect for dignity   | 125       |
| 3.2.2. Protection of dignity   | 130       |
| 3.3. Other objective-law effects of dignity  | 131       |
| 4. Conclusions and open questions  | 131       |
| 4.1. Functional use of the human dignity clause  | 131       |
| 4.2. Theories of the legitimation of dignity   | 132       |
| 4.3. Compatibility within limits   | 132       |
| 4.4. Indigenous thought  | 133       |
| 4.5. Inclusion v. exclusion  | 133       |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| § 8 Foundation of basic rights  | 135 |
| 1. Introduction   | 135 |
| 2. Human dignity as the foundation of basic rights in the general sense                     | 135 |
| 3. Human dignity as the foundation of specific rights: Art. 1 (1) GG "in conjunction with"  | 138 |
| 3.1. Right to a subsistence income according to human dignity                               | 139 |
| 3.1.1. The principle of the social state  | 139 |
| 3.1.2. Jurisprudential history of the MSI   | 144 |
| 3.1.3. Scope of protection of the MSI   | 151 |
| 3.2. The general right of personality   | 153 |
| 3.2.1. Jurisprudential history of the general right of personality                          | 153 |
| 3.2.2. Scope of protection of the GRoP  | 162 |
| 4. Conclusions and open questions   | 170 |
| 4.1. Right to a subsistence income in accordance with human dignity – absolute and relative | 170 |
| 4.2. GRoP – particularities   | 171 |
| 4.2.1. Independent doctrinal development of general freedom of action and the GRoP          | 171 |
| 4.2.2. Doctrinal difficulties of the inviolable core area of private lifestyle              | 172 |
| § 9 Ownership of basic rights   | 175 |
| 1. Introduction   | 175 |
| 2. Basic legal capacity and human dignity   | 177 |
| 3. Ownership of human dignity   | 178 |
| 3.1. Initial boundary   | 179 |
| 3.1.1. Prenatal ownership of human dignity  | 179 |
| 3.1.1.1. Critique of the prenatal ownership of human dignity                                | 183 |
| 3.1.1.2. Protection of human dignity from the moment of fertilization                       | 184 |
| 3.1.1.3. Critique of protection from the point of fertilization                             | 186 |

## Table of Contents

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| 3.1.2. Ownership from birth  | 187 |
| 3.1.3. Other positions   | 189 |
| 3.1.3.1. Decoupling the right to life from human dignity                             | 189 |
| 3.1.3.2. An increasing protection of human dignity                                   | 190 |
| 3.2. Upper boundary  | 191 |
| 3.2.1. Protection of human dignity after death                                       | 191 |
| 3.2.2. Critique of the protection of dignity after death                             | 195 |
| 4. Conclusions and open questions  | 196 |
| 4.1. Human dignity and basic legal capacity  | 196 |
| 4.2. Human dignity as a basic right  | 196 |
| 4.3. Human dignity as a principle  | 197 |
| 4.4. Dignity – a clause of constitutional openness                                   | 198 |
| § 10 Restrictions on basic rights  | 199 |
| 1. Introduction  | 199 |
| 2. The Three-Step Test   | 199 |
| 2.1. First step: determining the scope of protection                                 | 202 |
| 2.2. Second step: identifying the existence of an interference                       | 204 |
| 2.3. Third step: justification of interferences                                      | 206 |
| 2.3.1. Restriction clauses   | 206 |
| 2.3.2. Basic rights without restriction clauses [ <i>vorbehaltlose Grundrechte</i> ] | 207 |
| 2.3.3. Limits to restrictions [ <i>Schranken-Schranken</i> ]                         | 207 |
| 2.3.3.1. Additional controls for the intrusive state activity                        | 208 |
| 2.3.3.2. The principle of proportionality  | 208 |
| 3. Alternative approaches  | 209 |
| 3.1. The scope of protection of Art 2 (1) GG   | 210 |
| 3.1.1. The <i>Elfes</i> Case   | 210 |
| 3.1.2. The <i>Reiten im Walde</i> Case   | 214 |
| 3.2. The legal doctrine of guaranteed content [ <i>Gewährleistungsgehaltslehre</i> ] | 218 |
| 3.2.1. Critique of the doctrine of guaranteed content                                | 220 |
| 3.2.2. Balance   | 221 |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| 4. Interferences with human dignity  | 222        |
| 4.1. Dignity as a principle  | 223        |
| 4.2. Dignity as a right  | 224        |
| 4.2.1. Interferences with human dignity as a right   | 225        |
| 4.2.1.1. The object formula  | 225        |
| 4.2.1.1.1. Doctrinal antecedents and<br>jurisprudential development  | 225        |
| 4.2.1.1.2. Critique of the object-formula  | 229        |
| 4.2.1.1.3. Assessment  | 230        |
| 4.2.1.2. The negative approach   | 231        |
| 4.2.2. Justification of interferences  | 232        |
| 4.2.2.1. Ruling opinion: no justification possible   | 232        |
| 4.2.2.2. Minority opinion: the relativity of human<br>dignity  | 234        |
| 5. Human dignity and restrictions on basic rights  | 236        |
| 5.1. Human dignity as an inherent limit in the constitution  | 236        |
| 5.2. Human dignity – unwaivable guarantee  | 237        |
| 5.3. Dignity versus dignity  | 240        |
| 6. Human dignity and limits to restrictions on fundamental rights  | 242        |
| 6.1. The theory of the human dignity-content or human dignity-<br>core of fundamental rights                 | 243        |
| 6.2. Critique  | 244        |
| 7. Conclusions and open questions  | 245        |
| 7.1. Doctrinal difficulties involved in reviewing a possible<br>interference with the right to human dignity | 245        |
| 7.1.1. Doctrinal perfectionism   | 245        |
| 7.1.2. “In conjunction with”   | 246        |
| 7.1.3. Dignity versus dignity  | 246        |
| 7.2. Absoluteness of human dignity from a comparative law<br>perspective                                     | 247        |
| <b>IV Human Dignity in the Chilean Constitutional Order: A<br/>    proposed understanding</b>                | <b>249</b> |
| § 11 Intermediate Results  | 249        |
| 1. Introduction  | 249        |

## *Table of Contents*

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| 2. Recalling Part I: Origin of the Chilean Constitution, general theory of basic rights and system of constitutional justice | 250 |
| 3. Recalling Part II: conclusions and open questions   | 250 |
| 3.1. Content of human dignity in Chile   | 250 |
| 3.2. Human dignity and the foundation of basic rights in Chile   | 251 |
| 3.3. Human dignity and ownership of basic rights in Chile  | 252 |
| 3.4. Human dignity and restrictions on basic rights in Chile   | 253 |
| 4. Recalling Part III: conclusions and open questions  | 253 |
| 4.1. Content of human dignity in Germany   | 253 |
| 4.2. Human dignity and the foundation of basic rights in Germany   | 255 |
| 4.3. Human dignity and ownership of basic rights in Germany  | 256 |
| 4.4. Human dignity and restrictions on basic rights in Germany   | 257 |
| 5. Summary of similarities and differences between the German and Chilean treatment of human dignity                         | 259 |
| 5.1. Antecedents   | 259 |
| 5.2. Comparison of the legal form and content of human dignity   | 260 |
| 5.3. Comparison of human dignity and foundation of basic rights  | 261 |
| 5.4. Comparison of human dignity and ownership of basic rights   | 261 |
| 5.5. Comparison of human dignity and restrictions on basic rights  | 261 |
| § 12 Elementary criteria for approaching a constitutional norm on human dignity  | 263 |
| 1. Preliminary: the distinction between concept, content, and grounding of human dignity                                     | 263 |
| 2. First: definition of the legal form   | 264 |
| 3. Second: definition of the content in accordance with its legal form   | 265 |
| 3.1. Independence  | 265 |
| 3.2. Independence without isolation  | 267 |
| 3.2.1. The minimal protective purpose of the constitutional concept of human dignity   | 269 |
| 3.2.2. Theoretical sources for completing human dignity's content: the legitimation of human dignity                         | 276 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| 3.2.3. Approach to scholarship and jurisprudence from a comparative law perspective – legal transfer, comparison, and interpretation | 278 |
| 3.2.3.1. Three lessons from Germany for the doctrinal treatment of the Chilean provision on dignity                                  | 280 |
| 3.2.3.1.1. Grounding dimension   | 281 |
| 3.2.3.1.2. Sociological dimension  | 282 |
| 3.2.3.1.3. Doctrinal dimension   | 285 |
| § 13 Bases for the understanding of human dignity in the Chilean constitutional order  | 287 |
| 1. Reconstruction of the concept of human dignity based on Art. 1 in conjunction with Art. 5, par. 2. CPR                            | 287 |
| 1.1. Juridical norm  | 287 |
| 1.1.1. The dignity clause as a preamble  | 287 |
| 1.1.2. The openness of the constitutional text   | 288 |
| 1.1.3. Legal character   | 288 |
| 1.1.4. Juridical interpretation  | 289 |
| 1.2. Rank  | 289 |
| 1.2.1. Supreme constitutional norm   | 289 |
| 1.2.2. Human nature and human dignity  | 290 |
| 1.3. Legal form  | 291 |
| 1.3.1. Constitutional principle  | 291 |
| 1.3.1.1. Grammar   | 291 |
| 1.3.1.2. Location  | 292 |
| 1.3.1.3. Genealogy   | 292 |
| 1.3.1.4. Remedy of protection  | 292 |
| 1.4. Content of human dignity  | 293 |
| 1.4.1. Minimal content: Basic legal capacity   | 293 |
| 1.4.2. Elementary minimal constitutive factors for the enjoyment of basic legal capacity   | 293 |
| 1.4.2.1. The traditional theories of legitimation  | 293 |
| 1.4.2.1.1. Defensive sphere  | 294 |
| 1.4.2.1.2. Protective sphere   | 295 |
| 1.4.2.1.3. Benefit sphere  | 295 |
| 1.4.2.2. Universality of human dignity and the particularity of the Chilean community that guarantees it                             | 298 |

## *Table of Contents*

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| 2. Human dignity in the general theory of basic rights                       | 300 |
| 2.1. Foundation of basic rights  | 300 |
| 2.1.1. Grounding of basic rights in a general sense                          | 300 |
| 2.1.2. Grounding of implicit rights  | 300 |
| 2.2. Ownership of basic rights   | 301 |
| 2.3. Restrictions on basic rights  | 302 |
| 2.3.1. Restrictions on human dignity   | 302 |
| 2.3.2. Human dignity as an inherent limit in the constitution                | 303 |
| 2.3.3. Human dignity as a limit to restrictions on basic rights              | 303 |
| <br>   |     |
| Deutschsprachige Zusammenfassung   | 307 |
| <br>   |     |
| Bibliography   | 309 |
| 1. Scholarship and Proceedings   | 309 |
| 2. Case Law  | 324 |
| 2.1. Chilean Constitutional Tribunal [Tribunal Constitucional – CCT]         | 324 |
| 2.2. German Federal Constitutional Court [Bundesverfassungsgericht – BVerfG] | 325 |
| 2.3. Supreme Court of Justice [Bundesgerichtshof – BGH]                      | 327 |
| 2.4. Federal Administrative Court [Bundesverwaltungsgericht – BVerwG]        | 327 |