

# Table of Contents

Table of Cases	17
Table of Legal Instruments	31
Part 1. Introduction	39
I.    Consideration of Host State Circumstances	43
A. Consideration of Host State Circumstances and the Purposes of International Investment Law	43
B. Circumstances Considered by Arbitral Tribunals	46
1. Economic Circumstances	46
2. Political Circumstances	48
3. Social Circumstances and Level of Development	49
II.   Suitable Stages in Investor-State Arbitral Proceedings to Consider Host State Circumstances	50
A. Jurisdiction	50
B. Circumstances Precluding Wrongfulness	54
1. Force Majeure	54
2. Necessity	56
C. Damages	59
III.  Conclusion and Scope	64
Part 2. Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard	66
I.    Normative Foundations of the Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard	67
A. Ordinary Meaning	68
1. Fairness	69
a) Fairness in International Law	70
b) Application of <i>Franck's</i> Fairness to the Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard	71
	11

c) Criticism of <i>Franck's</i> Concept of Fairness	72
d) Interim Result	73
2. Equity	73
a) Possible Approaches to Equity	74
b) Commonalities of the Approaches	75
B. Context	76
1. Immediate Context	77
a) Conventional Fair and Equitable Treatment Clauses	77
b) Modern Fair and Equitable Treatment Clauses	78
c) Fair and Equitable Treatment Clauses with an Explicit Reference to Host State Circumstances	80
2. Broader Context	81
3. Extra-Contractual Documents	82
4. Conclusion	83
C. Object and Purpose	84
D. Fair and Equitable Treatment and the International Minimum Standard of Treatment of Aliens	88
1. Substantive Content of the International Minimum Standard of Treatment of Aliens	88
a) Denial of Justice and Administrative Due Process	90
b) Arbitrariness	91
2. Relevance of Host State Circumstances	92
3. Relationship Between the Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard and the International Minimum Standard	94
a) Clauses Limited to the International Minimum Standard	94
b) Clauses Referencing International Law	94
c) Unqualified Clauses	96
i. Admissibility of the International Minimum Standard as Interpretative Material	98
ii. Weight of the International Minimum Standard in the Interpretation of the Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard	100
E. Results	103

II.	Arbitral Tribunals' Interpretation of the Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard	105
A.	Legitimate Expectations	107
1.	The Basis of the Investor's Expectations	109
a)	Specific Host State Conduct	109
b)	Regulatory Framework	110
2.	The Legitimacy of the Investor's Expectations	113
a)	Circumstances in the Host State	114
b)	Host State's Right to Regulate	117
c)	Investor's Behaviour	121
3.	Conclusion	123
B.	Procedural Fairness	124
1.	Scope of Protection	126
a)	Denial of Access to Courts	127
b)	Undue Delay	128
c)	Inadequate Administration of Justice	128
d)	Excursus: Due Process in Administrative Decision-Making	129
e)	Misapplication of the Law	131
2.	Consideration of Host State Circumstances	132
3.	Conclusion	135
C.	Substantive Fairness	135
1.	Arbitrariness	137
a)	Arbitrariness as a Shocking or Surprising Act	138
b)	Arbitrariness as an Imbalance between Means and Ends	140
i.	Legitimate Purpose	141
ii.	Suitability	142
iii.	Necessity	143
iv.	Proportionality <i>stricto sensu</i>	143
c)	Interim Result	144
2.	Discrimination	146
a)	Similar Cases	148
b)	Different Treatment	149
c)	No Reasonable Justification	149
d)	Interim Result	150
3.	Coercion and Harassment	150
4.	Bad Faith	152
5.	Conclusion	154

D. Results	154
1. The Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard as a Whole	155
a) Relevance of Circumstances in the Discussion of the Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard	155
b) Importance of the Nature of the Circumstances for Tribunals' Consideration	156
2. The Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard's Elements	157
a) Abstract Affirmation to Consider Host States' Circumstances and Balance Diverging Interests	158
b) Relevance of the Investors' Behaviour	162
Part 3. Full Protection and Security Standard	164
I. Normative Foundations of the Full Protection and Security Standard	165
A. Historical Background	166
1. Development of a Standard of Protection	166
a) Contractual Protection and Security Clause	167
b) Customary Standard of Minimum Treatment of Aliens	170
2. Interim Result	174
B. Ordinary Meaning	176
C. Context	179
1. Immediate Context	179
a) Conventional Full Protection and Security Clauses	179
b) Modern Full Protection and Security Clauses	180
c) Full Protection and Security Clauses with an Explicit Reference to Host State Circumstances	181
2. Broader Context	182
3. Extra-contractual Documents	183
4. Interim Result	184
D. Object and Purpose	184
E. Full Protection and Security and the International Minimum Standard of Treatment of Aliens	186
1. Substantive Content of the International Minimum Standard of Treatment of Aliens	186
2. Relevance of Host State Circumstances	187

3. Relationship between the Contractual and the Customary Full Protection and Security Standard	188
a) Clauses Equating Full Protection and Security and the International Minimum Standard	188
b) Other Clauses Referencing International Law	189
c) Unqualified Clauses	191
F. Results	193
II.    Arbitral Tribunals' Interpretation of the Full Protection and Security Standard	195
A. Narrow Understanding: 'Physical' Protection and Security	196
1. Duty to Prevent Third Parties from Harming the Investment	196
a) Scope of Protection	196
b) Consideration of Host State Circumstances	198
i. Modified Objective Standard	199
ii. Reasonableness	200
iii. Reasonableness Under the Circumstances	201
2. Duty to Refrain from Harming the Investment	203
a) Scope of Protection	204
b) Consideration of Host State Circumstances	204
3. Interim Result	207
B. Broad Understanding: 'Legal' Protection and Security	208
1. Judicial Protection	210
2. Legal Stability	212
C. Results	215
1. The Full Protection and Security Standard as a Whole	215
a) Importance of the Nature of the Circumstances for Tribunals' Consideration	215
b) Time of the Decisions	216
2. Discrepancies Between and Within the Different Interpretation of the Full Protection and Security Standard	216
a) Standard of Liability	216
b) Relevance of Host State Circumstances	217
Part 4. Analysis of the Results	220
I.    Comparison of the Results	221
A. Results on the Normative Foundations	221

B. Results on the Tribunals' Interpretations	221
1. Relevance of the Host States' Circumstances	222
2. Relevance of the Investor's Behaviour	222
3. Nature of the Host States' Circumstances Considered	222
4. Time of the Decisions	223
II. Possible Explanation for These Results	224
A. Distinction Between the Fair and Equitable Treatment and the Full Protection and Security Standard	224
1. Relationship Between the Fair and Equitable Treatment and Full Protection and Security Standards	224
2. Distinction of the Two Standards	226
a) Legal Treatment versus Physical Protection	226
b) Negative versus Positive Obligation	227
c) State Measures versus Third Parties' Actions	228
d) Applicability of the Due Diligence Standard	228
B. Consequences for the Consideration of the Circumstances Prevailing in the Host State	230
III. Theses in Summary	233
Bibliography	235