Mark D. Cole | Christina Etteldorf | Carsten Ullrich

# CROSS-BORDER DISSEMINATION OF ONLINE CONTENT

Current and Possible Future Regulation of the Online Environment with a Focus on the EU E-Commerce Directive





# SCHRIFTENREIHE MEDIENFORSCHUNG

**BAND 81** 

# Mark D. Cole | Christina Etteldorf | Carsten Ullrich

# CROSS-BORDER DISSEMINATION OF ONLINE CONTENT

Current and Possible Future Regulation of the Online Environment with a Focus on the FU F-Commerce Directive





#### Landesanstalt für Medien NRW

Sabrina Nennstiel (Leiterin Kommunikation) Dr. Meike Isenberg (Leiterin Forschung) Zollhof 2, 40221 Düsseldorf www.medienanstalt-nrw.de

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at http://dnb.d-nb.de

ISBN 978-3-8487-6501-0 (Print) 978-3-7489-0643-8 (ePDF)

#### **British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data**

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-3-8487-6501-0 (Print) 978-3-7489-0643-8 (ePDF)

#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Cole, Mark / Etteldorf, Christina / Ullrich, Carsten
Cross-Border Dissemination of Online Content
Current and Possible Future Regulation of the Online Environment with a Focus on the EU E-Commerce Directive
Mark D. Cole / Christina Etteldorf / Carsten Ullrich
280 pp.
Includes references.

ISBN 978-3-8487-6501-0 (Print) 978-3-7489-0643-8 (ePDF)

Volumes 1–48 published by VS-Verlag, volumes 49–80 published by VISTAS Verlag.



Onlineversion Nomos eLibrary

Published by Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden, Germany 2020. Printed and bound in Germany.



This publication is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 3.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND). Usage and distribution for commercial purposes as well as any distribution of modified material requires written permission.

1st Edition 2020

© 2020 The Authors.

No responsibility for loss caused to any individual or organization acting on or refraining from action as a result of the material in this publication can be accepted by Nomos or the author.

#### Preface

Europe ensures both – peace and freedom. Protecting this freedom at the same time means respecting rules based on European core values. It is up to us as independent regulators to enforce our standards of a free media order in Europe.

However, there are currently significant challenges in cross-border enforcement on the Internet. These include, in particular, the enormous duration of the legal procedures and the question of accountability for the cross-border dissemination of illegal and problematic online content. In addition, the uncertainty concerning the jurisdiction between national regulators and the increasing dominance of platforms such as Google, Facebook and Co. as well are part of this complex situation.

Given the obligation to protect fundamental European democratic values, even difficulties in the effective enforcement of rules do not justify not trying to do so. In a nutshell – inactivity is not an option.

In order to ensure a free media order, it is our duty now to define clear responsibilities of all players in this market and to agree on fast and effective procedures between Member States.

The study conducted by the Institute of European Media Law (EMR) on behalf of the State Media Authority NRW is a constructive framework for this – it provides a comprehensive analysis of the EU legal framework as well as the concrete indication of the need for a reform of the E-Commerce Directive.

I thank Prof. Dr. Mark D. Cole and his team for their excellent work and wish you, dear readers, an inspiring lecture.

Dr. Tobias Schmid Director of the State Media Authority of North Rhine-Westphalia

# Summary Table of Contents

Exec	Executive Summary	
1.	Background of the Study	41
1.1.	Online Dissemination of Content	41
1.2.	The Role of Platforms in the Online Dissemination of Content	43
1.3.	The Role of Supervisory Authorities in the Online	
	Dissemination of Content	45
	The EU Digital Single Market Context	48
1.5.	Structure of the Study	50
2.	The Relevant EU Legal Framework for Online Content	
	Dissemination	53
2.1.	Fundamental Rights	53
2.2.	Fundamental Freedoms	77
2.3.	Other Elements of EU Primary Law	83
2.4.	EU Secondary Law	91
2.5.	EU Support, Coordination and Supplementary Measures	152
3.	Detailed Analysis of the E-Commerce Directive	169
3.1.	Scope of Application	169
3.2.	The Country-of-Origin Principle	173
3.3.	The Intermediary Liability Regime	176
4.	Towards a Future Regulatory Framework for Online Content	221
4.1.	Lessons Learnt	221
4.2.	Important Considerations	237
4.3.	Possible Avenues	252
4.4.	Looking Ahead	262
5.	Bibliography	265

List	of Abbreviations	15
Exec	cutive Summary	19
	English Version Deutsche Fassung	19 29
	Deutsche Passang	2)
1.	Background of the Study	41
1.1.	Online Dissemination of Content	41
1.2.	The Role of Platforms in the Online Dissemination of Content	43
1.3.	The Role of Supervisory Authorities in the Online	
	Dissemination of Content	45
1.4.	The EU Digital Single Market Context	48
	Structure of the Study	50
2.	The Relevant EU Legal Framework for Online Content	
۷٠	Dissemination	53
2.1.	Fundamental Rights	53
	2.1.1. Fundamental Rights Sources: EU Charter, European Convention on Human Rights and National	
	Constitutional Law	55
	2.1.2. Relevant Fundamental Rights	58
	2.1.2.1. Human Dignity	58
	2.1.2.2. Rights of the Child and Protection of Minors	60
	2.1.2.3. Respect for Private and Family Life	63
	2.1.2.4. Freedom of Expression and the Media	66
	2.1.2.5. Freedom to Conduct a Business	69 71
	2.1.2.6. Right to Property	71
	2.1.3. Fundamental Rights Protection Obligations	73

2.2. Fundamental Freedoms	77
2.2.1. Freedom of Establishment and Freedom to Provide	
Services	77
2.2.2. Free Movement of Goods	82
2.3. Other Elements of EU Primary Law	83
2.3.1. Fundamental Principles and Goals of the EU	83
2.3.2. Relevant EU Competencies	86
2.3.2.1. Legal Bases for an EU Competence in the Media	
Sector	86
2.3.2.2. The Specific Legal Bases for the ECD	89
2.4. EU Secondary Law	91
2.4.1. e-Commerce Directive	91
2.4.1.1. Historical Background	92
2.4.1.2. Further Developments	95
2.4.1.3. Main Goals and Principles of the Original ECD	99
2.4.2. Audiovisual Media Services Directive	101
2.4.2.1. Historical Development up to the Latest Revision	
in 2018	101
2.4.2.2. Overview of Relevant Rules for the Online Context	108
2.4.2.2.1. Personal Scope of Application	108
2.4.2.2.2. Country-of-Origin Principle	110
2.4.2.2.1. Importance of the Principle and Changes	
Related to It in the Recent Reform	110
2.4.2.2.2. Home State Jurisdiction Rule	112
2.4.2.2.3. Exceptional Derogation of Free Flow of	
Information	114
2.4.2.2.4. Exception in Case of Circumvention	114
2.4.2.2.3. Minimum Harmonisation Concerning	11/
Specific Types of Content	116
2.4.2.2.4. Supervision and Sanctions 2.4.3. Data Protection and ePrivacy	121 123
2.4.3.1. Data Processing and the Media Privilege	123
2.4.3.1. Data Processing and the Media Privilege 2.4.3.2. ePrivacy Directive and GDPR	124
2.4.3.3. Market Location Principle	131
2.4.3.4. Supervision and Sanctioning	134
2.4.3.5. Jurisdiction and Cooperation of Authorities	136
2.4.4. Intellectual Property Rules	139
2.4.4.1. The InfoSoc and Enforcement Directives	139
2.4.4.2. The DSM Directive	140

	2.4.5. Further Relevant Legislative Acts	148
	2.4.5.1. Platform-to-Business Regulation	148
	2.4.5.2. Proposal for a Regulation on Preventing the	
	Dissemination of Terrorist Content Online	149
2.5.	EU Support, Coordination and Supplementary Measures	152
	2.5.1. The Recommendations on the Protection of Minors and	
	Human Dignity	152
	2.5.2. The Actions Concerning the Tackling of Illegal Content	
	Online	156
	2.5.3. The Actions Concerning the Tackling of Online	
	Disinformation	162
3.	Detailed Analysis of the E-Commerce Directive	169
3.1.	Scope of Application	169
	3.1.1. Territorial Scope	169
	3.1.2. Functional Scope	170
	3.1.3. Personal Scope of Application	171
3.2.	The Country-of-Origin Principle	173
	3.2.1. Application	173
	3.2.2. Derogations	174
	3.2.3. Exemptions to the Scope of Application	176
3.3.	The Intermediary Liability Regime	176
	3.3.1. Historical Backdrop	176
	3.3.2. The Approach Chosen by the EU	177
	3.3.3. Categories of Specific Information Society Service	
	Providers	178
	3.3.4. The Three Types of Specific Intermediary Service	
	Activities	181
	3.3.4.1. "Mere Conduits" According to Art. 12 ECD	181
	3.3.4.2. Caching According to Art. 13 ECD	182
	<ul><li>3.3.4.3. Hosting According to Art. 14 ECD</li><li>3.3.4.4. No General Monitoring Obligations According to</li></ul>	183
	Art. 15 ECD	184
	3.3.5. Delineation between National and EU Responsibilities	185
	3.3.6. Illegal Content – Challenges to EU Intermediary	103
	Liability Exemptions	186

3.3.7. EU Intermedia	ry Liability Framework – How the CJEU	
Has Dealt with	the Challenges	188
3.3.7.1. Challenge	e: The Question of Neutrality of Hosts	188
3.3.7.2. Challeng	e 2: Actual Knowledge	192
3.3.7.3. Challeng	e 3: Preventive Injunctions and Duties of	
Care	,	194
3.3.7.3.1. L'O	Oréal v Ebay (C-324/09)	195
3.3.7.3.2. Sca	arlet Extended (C-70/10)	
&	Netlog (C-360/10)	196
3.3.7.3.3. Mo	cFadden (C-484/14)	196
3.3.7.3.4. Ev	a Glawischnig-Piesczek v Facebook	
Ire	eland (C-18/18)	197
	ermediary-Related Case Law	199
3.3.8. Defining a "Du		200
3.3.8.1. The Reas	oning behind New Responsibilities for	
	Intermediaries	200
	s for a "Duty of Care"-Approach	202
3.3.8.3. Illegal Co	ontent, Technical Standards and the New	
Approach		204
3.3.8.4. Duty of C	Care for Internet Intermediaries in the EU	
Framewo		205
	Liability Provisions in Sectoral Legislation	207
	Provisions in Digital Single Market Acts	207
3.3.9.1.1. Au	idiovisual Media Services Directive	207
3.3.9.1.2. DS		209
3.3.9.2. Other Ru	lles Complementing the ECD Liability	
Provision		211
	foSoc and Enforcement Directive	211
3.3.9.2.2. 20	16 Guidance Note to the Unfair	
	ommercial Practices Directive	212
	gulation on Market Surveillance and	
	ompliance of Products	213
	rective on Combating Terrorism	215
	oposal for a Regulation on Preventing the	
	ssemination of Terrorist Content Online	215
	eneral Data Protection Regulation	217
3.3.9.2.7. Pla	atform-to-Business Regulation	218

4.	Towards a Future Regulatory Framework for Online Content	221
4.1.	Lessons Learnt	221
	4.1.1. Difficulties in the Application of the ECD	221
	4.1.2. New Actors, New Approaches and New Regulatory	
	Models	222
	4.1.3. Margin for Member States in Implementation: the	
	Example of GDPR	225
	4.1.4. Institutional Dimension of Enforcement on National	227
	and EU level: the Example of the GDPR 4.1.4.1. The European Data Protection Board Compared to	227
	Other Sectors	228
	4.1.4.2. Essential Factors for Institutional Organisation	230
	4.1.4.3. The Setup between National DPAs and Their	250
	Cooperation on EU Level	232
	4.1.5. Application to the ECD of Interim Findings Relating to	
	the GDPR to the ECD	235
4.2.	Important Considerations	237
	4.2.1. Value-based Approach Necessitates Effective	
	Enforcement	237
	4.2.2. Involvement of Industry through Self- and Co-regulatory	
	Measures	239
	4.2.2.1. Defining Self- and Co-regulation	240
	4.2.2.2. Advantages and Disadvantages of Self- and	
	Co-regulation	241
	4.2.2.3. Existing Forms of Self- and Co-regulation in the	246
	Online Environment	246
	4.2.2.4. Possible Forms and Conditions of Co-regulation on EU Level	248
	4.2.3. The Principle of Proportionality	250
4.2	•	
4.3.	Possible Avenues	252
	4.3.1. General Considerations	252
	4.3.2. Adjusting Country-of-Origin and Market Location	252
	Principle 4.3.3. Institutional Setup and Cooperation in Enforcement	253 258
	4.3.4. Improving Conditions for Enforcement	259
	2 0	
4.4.	Looking Ahead	262
5.	Bibliography	265
	U 1 /	-

#### List of Abbreviations

AG Advocate General

**Art.** Article

**AVMS** audiovisual media services

**AVMSD** Audiovisual Media Services Directive

AVMS-RADAR AudioVisual Media Services – Regulatory Authorities' Inde-

pendence and Efficiency Review (study)

**BeckOK** Beck Online Kommentar

**BEREC** Body of European Regulators for Electronic

Communication

B2B business-to-businessB2C business-to-consumer

**CCHSO** Code of conduct on countering illegal hate speech online

**CFR** Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU

**CJEU** Court of Justice of the EU

COD Ordinary Legislative Procedure and former Co-decision pro-

cedure

**COM** Communication

**CPD** Code of Practice on Disinformation (EU)

C- Case

**DCMA** Digital Millenium Copyright Act (US)

DOS Directorate-General Dos Denial of Service

DPA Data Protection Authority
DSL Digital Subscriber Line

**DSM Directive** Directive on copyright and related rights in the Digital

Single Market

**DVBl.** Deutsches Verwaltungsblatt

**DöV** Zeitschrift für Öffentliches Recht und Verwaltungswissen-

schaften

EC European Community
ECD e-Commerce Directive

#### List of Abbreviations

**ECHO** Report of the Commission on Ending Childhood Obesity

**ECHR** European Convention on Human Rights

ECtHR European Court of Human Rights

**ECU** European Currency Unit

EDPB European Data Protection Board
EDPL European Data Protection Law Review
EDPS European Data Protection Supervisor

**EEA** European Economic Area

**EEC** European Economic Community

**EECC** European Electronic Communications Code

**ELJ** European Law Journal

EJIL European Journal of International Law

EMR Institute of European Media Law/ Institut für Europäisches

Medienrecht

**EP** European Parliament

**ERGA** European Regulators Group for Audiovisual Media Services

et seq. et sequens (Latin) / and the following

**EU** European Union

**EuGRZ** Europäische Grundrechte-Zeitschrift

**EuR** Zeitschrift für Europarecht

**EuZW** Europäische Zeitschrift für Wirtschaftsrecht

FTC US Federal Trade Commission

GDPR General Data Protection Regulation

GewArch Gewerbearchiv

**GRUR** Gewerblicher Rechtsschutz und Urheberrecht

**HLEG** High Level Expert Group

ICT Information and Communications Technology
IMCO Committee on the Internal Market and Consumer

Protection

**InfoSoc** Directive on the harmonisation of certain aspects of copy-

**Directive** right and related rights in the information society

IP Intellectual Property

IPRED Intellectual Property Enforcement Directive

IPRs Intellectual Property Rights

**ISDN** Integrated Services Digital Network

**ISO** International Organization for Standardization

#### List of Abbreviations

**ISS** Information Society Services

**ISSP** Information Society Service Provider

IT Information TechnologyJHA Justice and Home Affairs

JIPITEC Journal of Intellectual Property, Information Technology

and Electronic Commerce Law

JuS Juristische Schulung
K&R Kommunikation & Recht
lit. litera (Latin) / letter

**LRTK** Lithuanian Radio and Television Commission

MMR Multimedia und Recht

**M&K** Zeitschrift für Medien & Kommunikationswissenschaft

NetzDGNetzwerkdurchsetzungsgesetzNJWNeue Juristische WochenschriftNRA(s)national regulatory authoritie(s)

OJEU Official Journal of the European Union
OJ C Official Journal – Information and Notices

OJ L Official Journal - Legislation

para. paragraph

**P1ECHR** Protocol No. 1 to the ECHR

P2B Platform-to-Business
SEC Single European Code

SIg. Sammlung der Rechtsprechung
SME small and medium-sized enterprises
SSRN Social Science Research Network

supra ut supra / as abovesubpara. subparagraph

**SWD** Commission Staff Working Document

TEC Treaty establishing the European Community

**TERREG** Regulation on preventing the dissemination of terrorist con-

tent online

**TEU** Treaty on European Union

**TFEU** Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union

TV Television

**TwFD** Television without Frontiers Directive

URL Uniform Ressource Locator

#### List of Abbreviations

UWG Gesetz gegen den unlauteren Wettbewerb

VAT value added tax
VoD Video on Demand

VSP(s) video-sharing platform(s)
WCT WIPO Copyright Treaty

WIPO World Intellectual Property Organization

WP Working Paper

WTO World Trade Organization

**ZaöRV** Zeitschrift für ausländisches öffentliches Recht und Völker-

recht

**ZAW** Zentralverband der deutschen Werbewirtschaft

**ZöR** Zeitschrift für öffentliches Recht

**ZPEU** Zeitschrift für das Privatrecht der Europäischen Union

**ZUM** Zeitschrift für Urheber- und Medienrecht

**5G** 5<sup>th</sup> Generation