

# Contents

Preface . . . . .	V
List of contributors. . . . .	VII
Bibliography. . . . .	XXXI
<b>Part 1: Historical Survey . . . . .</b>	<b>1</b>
<i>(Aloys Hüttermann)</i>	
<b>Chapter 1: Historical Survey . . . . .</b>	<b>3</b>
I. Historical Survey up to the Emergence of the Unitary Patent System. . . . .	3
1. The International Patent Institute . . . . .	3
2. The European Patent Convention (EPC) . . . . .	4
3. The European Patent Litigation Agreement. . . . .	4
II. Evolution of the Unitary Patent System. . . . .	5
1. The European Commission's Renewed Attempt to Create a Unitary Patent System. . . . .	5
2. Enhanced Cooperation in the Area of Patent Protection . . . . .	6
3. The Unified Patent Litigation System. . . . .	6
4. The Agreement on a Unified Patent Court (UPC Agreement) . . . . .	7
5. Lawsuits Challenging the Unitary Patent System . . . . .	8
6. The Ratification of the UPC Agreement . . . . .	9
a) The Ratification Process in Great Britain . . . . .	9
b) The Ratification Process in Germany . . . . .	10
aa) Process . . . . .	10
bb) Cancellation of the Prohibition of Double Protection . . . . .	12
c) Completion of the Ratification Processes . . . . .	13
7. Statute, Rules of Procedure and Protocol . . . . .	13
a) Statute and Rules of Procedure . . . . .	13
b) The Protocol to the UPC Agreement . . . . .	14
<b>Chapter 2: Strategic Considerations – How Should the Unitary Patent System Be Evaluated? . . . . .</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Part 2: The Unitary Patent . . . . .</b>	<b>21</b>
<i>(Aloys Hüttermann)</i>	
<b>Chapter 3: Overview of the Unitary Patent . . . . .</b>	<b>23</b>
I. Introduction . . . . .	23
II. Preconditions for Obtaining a Unitary Patent . . . . .	27
1. Overview . . . . .	27
2. The “Malta Problem”. . . . .	27
III. Supplemental Protection Certificates . . . . .	27

## Contents

---

IV.	Effect of the Unitary Patent . . . . .	28
1.	Equivalence with National Patent . . . . .	28
2.	Which Body of National Law is Applicable to Unitary Patents? . . . . .	28
V.	Transfer and Licensing of Unitary Patents . . . . .	31
1.	Transfer of Unitary Patents . . . . .	31
2.	Actions for Vindication . . . . .	31
3.	Insolvency . . . . .	32
4.	Licenses . . . . .	32
VI.	Lapse of Unitary Patents . . . . .	32
VII.	Actions for Revocation of Unitary Patents/Prior Rights . . . . .	33
VIII.	Procedural Formalities . . . . .	34
1.	Applicable Requirements . . . . .	35
2.	Bringing Legal Action before the Unified Patent Court. . . . .	35
3.	The Patent Register for Unitary Patents . . . . .	35
4.	Registration of Unitary Effect / “Validation” . . . . .	36
a)	Request for Unitary Effect . . . . .	36
b)	Course of the Proceeding . . . . .	36
5.	No “Double Validation” / National Patents . . . . .	37
6.	Regulations Relating to Translations. . . . .	37
a)	Translations in the Event of Legal Disputes. . . . .	38
b)	Translations During a Transitional Period . . . . .	38
c)	Compensation for Translations . . . . .	39
IX.	Annual Fees . . . . .	40
1.	Payment of the Annual fees. . . . .	40
2.	Statement of Willingness to Grant a License . . . . .	41
<b>Chapter 4: Strategic Considerations for the Unitary Patent . . . . .</b>		<b>42</b>
I.	Unitary Patent or National Validations? . . . . .	42
1.	Cost Considerations . . . . .	43
a)	Costs of Validation . . . . .	43
b)	Annual Renewal Fees. . . . .	45
aa)	The “Quantum Leap” or “Marginal Cost” Effect. . . . .	47
bb)	The “Dilution” Effect . . . . .	50
c)	Translation Costs During the Transitional Period. . . . .	53
d)	Italy as the Decisive Factor? . . . . .	54
e)	Cost Considerations and Overall Conclusion . . . . .	54
2.	Legal Questions . . . . .	56
a)	Pros and Cons of the Unified Patent Court. . . . .	56
b)	Disadvantages of One-Stop Revocation Actions. . . . .	57
c)	Territorial Reach of the Unitary Patent. . . . .	58
d)	Increased Legal Certainty of the Unitary Patent. . . . .	58
3.	Overall Conclusion . . . . .	59
II.	Strategic Considerations Once the Unitary Patent is Selected . . . . .	60
1.	Organizational Matters . . . . .	60
2.	Should Registration Be Delayed Before an Impending Ratification? . . . . .	60

3. Delaying Publication upon Imminent Entry into Force of the Unitary Patent System . . . . .	61
4. Sequence of Applicants . . . . .	61
5. Translations . . . . .	61
6. Compensation for Translations . . . . .	62
7. Statement of Willingness to Grant a License . . . . .	62
<b>Part 3: The Unified Patent Court . . . . .</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>Section 1: Structure and Jurisdiction . . . . .</b>	<b>65</b>
<i>(Aloys Hüttermann)</i>	
<b>Chapter 5: Structure and Jurisdiction of the Unified Patent Court . . . . .</b>	<b>65</b>
I. Legal Personality and Jurisdiction of the Unified Patent Court . . . . .	66
1. Legal Personality . . . . .	66
2. Jurisdiction . . . . .	67
II. Institutional Structure . . . . .	70
III. The “Opt-Out” Option/National Actions . . . . .	72
1. The “Opt-Out” Option . . . . .	72
2. Consequences of an Opt-Out Application . . . . .	72
a) Duration of the Opt-Out Application . . . . .	72
b) Does an Opt-Out Apply to the Unified Patent Court as a Whole? . . . . .	72
c) Applicable Law Following an Opt-Out . . . . .	73
d) Torpedo Actions . . . . .	73
e) Clarifying the Open Questions . . . . .	74
3. Ineffectiveness of an Opt-Out Application . . . . .	75
4. Opt-Out: Procedural Aspects . . . . .	75
a) The Applicant . . . . .	75
b) Contents of the Opt-Out Application . . . . .	75
aa) Opt-Out Application for Multiple Patents . . . . .	76
c) Course of the Proceeding . . . . .	76
d) Time Limit for an Opt-Out . . . . .	77
aa) Extending the Opt-Out Time Limit . . . . .	77
5. Opt-Out: Procedure in the Event of an Unauthorized Opt-Out . . . . .	78
6. Opt-In . . . . .	78
7. National Actions Without an Opt-Out . . . . .	79
IV. Structure of the Unified Patent Court . . . . .	79
1. Structure of the Unified Patent: Court of First Instance . . . . .	79
a) Subsidiary Structure . . . . .	79
aa) Local Divisions . . . . .	80
bb) Regional Divisions . . . . .	80
cc) Countries Without a Local or Regional Division . . . . .	80
dd) Central Division . . . . .	81
b) Personnel Structure . . . . .	83
aa) Legally Qualified and Technically Qualified Judges . . . . .	83

## Contents

---

bb) Appointment and Term of Office . . . . .	83
cc) Presidents of the Court of First Instance and of the Court of Appeal . .	86
dd) Composition of the Panels of the Court of First Instance . . . . .	86
ee) Standing Judges . . . . .	87
ff) Pool of Judges . . . . .	87
2. Structure of the Court of Appeal . . . . .	87
a) Composition of the Panels of the Court of Appeal . . . . .	88
3. Allocation of Competences Within the Court of First Instance . . . . .	88
a) Jurisdiction for Patent Infringement Actions . . . . .	88
b) Jurisdiction for Revocation Actions and Actions for Declaration of Non-Infringement . . . . .	90
c) Jurisdiction Within the Central Division . . . . .	91
d) Jurisdiction for Other Types of Actions . . . . .	91
4. Language Regulations . . . . .	92
a) Peculiarities of the Language Regulations . . . . .	92
aa) Choice of Languages for Local Patent-Infringement Cases . . . . .	92
bb) Choice of Language by the Unified Patent Court . . . . .	92
b) Translations . . . . .	93
5. Issues Relating to Representation . . . . .	93
a) Representation by Patent Attorneys (“Lawyers”) . . . . .	93
b) Attorney-Client Privilege . . . . .	94
c) Code of Conduct . . . . .	94
6. Cost Aspects . . . . .	94
a) Assessing the Value of the Action . . . . .	94
b) Court Fees . . . . .	95
c) Abatement of Court Fees . . . . .	97
aa) Abatement for Small Enterprises and in Case of Economic Need . .	97
bb) Reimbursement Upon Premature Termination of the Action . . . . .	99
d) Recoverable Representation Costs . . . . .	99
aa) Raising the Upper Limit in Complex Proceedings . . . . .	100
bb) Lowering the Upper Limit Due to Economic Necessity . . . . .	101
cc) Application to Change the Upper Limit . . . . .	101
e) Other Reimbursable Costs . . . . .	102
f) Regulations for Unnecessary Costs . . . . .	102
7. Capacity to Bring Legal Action/Counterclaim for Revocation . . . . .	102
a) Legal Capacity for Bringing Revocation Actions . . . . .	102
b) Licensees’ Legal Capacity to Bring Legal Action . . . . .	103
c) Counterclaim for Revocation . . . . .	103
8. The ECJ as a Possible Court of Appeal/Review? . . . . .	104
a) Does the ECJ Derive Interpretational Competence from the TRIPS Agreement? . . . . .	105
b) Seising of the ECJ by the Unified Patent Court . . . . .	106
9. The Unified Patent Court as a European Court . . . . .	106
a) The “Long Judicial Arm” of the Unified Patent Court . . . . .	108
b) Actions Challenging the Unified Patent Court for Infringements of European Union Law . . . . .	110

c)	The UPC as a Court Within the Meaning of Article 149a EPC . . . . .	111
<b>Chapter 6: Strategic Considerations: To Seize or Not to Seize the Unified Patent Court?</b> . . . . . 112		
I.	Considerations of Relevance to Both Parties. . . . .	113
II.	Strategic Considerations for Patent Proprietors. . . . .	114
1.	To Seize or Not to Seize the Unified Patent Court? . . . . .	114
a)	“Testing” the Unified Patent Court Via Preliminary Injunctions . . . . .	114
b)	Financial/Strategic Considerations. . . . .	115
aa)	Costs of a Proceeding Before the Unified Patent Court . . . . .	116
bb)	Average Costs of National Patent-Infringement Proceedings . . . . .	118
cc)	Outcome of the Comparison . . . . .	119
c)	Is the Unified Patent Court Worthy of Trust? . . . . .	119
aa)	Does the Unified Patent Court Exhibit Key Systemic Disadvantages?. . . . .	120
bb)	Trusting in the UPC’s Judges and Ways to Influence their Selection . . . . .	120
cc)	Helping to Influence the UPC’s Formative Period. . . . .	122
dd)	Does the Unified Patent Court Offer Systemic Advantages? . . . . .	122
ee)	Overall Conclusion . . . . .	123
d)	Potentially Divergent Legal Situations . . . . .	124
2.	To Opt Out or Not to Opt Out? . . . . .	126
a)	Should a National Action Be Brought Without an Opt-Out? . . . . .	126
b)	Opting Out During the Transitional Period . . . . .	126
aa)	Should an Opt-Out Application Be Lodged? . . . . .	126
bb)	What Will the Consequences of Opt-Out be? . . . . .	127
cc)	How Much Own Effort and Expense will an Opt-Out Entail? . . . . .	127
dd)	Sideline: Representational Authority for Opt-Out Applications. . . . .	127
ee)	How Much Effort and Expense Will the Opponent Incur? . . . . .	128
ff)	When Should an Opt-Out Application Be Lodged? . . . . .	129
gg)	Final Conclusion . . . . .	130
c)	Opting Out Shortly Before Expiry of the Transitional Period. . . . .	130
3.	Is Pursuing National Patent Rights a Viable Alternative? . . . . .	130
a)	German Utility Models . . . . .	131
4.	Before Which Division Should Action Be Brought? . . . . .	133
a)	Seise the Central Division or a Regional/Local Division?. . . . .	133
aa)	Referring a Counterclaim for Revocation Without Suspending the Action. . . . .	133
bb)	Composition of UPC’s Divisions . . . . .	134
b)	Which Regional/Local Division to Choose? . . . . .	134
5.	Other Considerations . . . . .	135
a)	Choosing the Language of the Patent Application . . . . .	135
b)	Licensees’ Legal Capacity to Bring Action. . . . .	135
III.	Strategic Considerations for (Potential) Patent Infringers . . . . .	136
a)	Prior Usage and Defensive Publications . . . . .	136

# Contents

---

b) Opposition and Revocation Proceedings . . . . .	137
<b>Section 2: Legal Foundations.</b> . . . . .	139
<i>(Aloys Hüttermann)</i>	
<b>Chapter 7: Legal Foundations of the Unified Patent Court</b> . . . . .	139
I. Applicable Substantive Law . . . . .	140
1. Is the European Convention on Human Rights Applicable?. . . . .	142
2. Applicable Law After an Opt-Out . . . . .	143
3. Rights Arising from a Patent . . . . .	143
a) Limitations on Patent Protection . . . . .	144
b) Prior Use and Exhaustion of Rights . . . . .	145
4. Regulations Relating to the Parties to an Action . . . . .	146
a) Presumption of Ownership . . . . .	146
b) Legal Capacity to Bring Patent Infringement Actions . . . . .	146
c) Legal Capacity to Bring Revocation Actions . . . . .	146
d) Multiple Plaintiffs/Defendants . . . . .	147
e) Change of Party . . . . .	147
aa) Procedure of Transferring a Patent in Dispute . . . . .	147
bb) Procedure in Case of Death or Insolvency . . . . .	147
cc) Peculiarities of Actions for Revocation (?) . . . . .	148
f) Intervention Procedure . . . . .	148
5. Interpreting the Patent . . . . .	148
II. Legal Consequences of a Patent Infringement . . . . .	149
1. Period of Limitation . . . . .	150
2. Powers of the Court. . . . .	150
III. Revocation of a Patent . . . . .	150
1. Opposition Proceedings Before the EPO Have No Primacy. . . . .	150
2. Grounds for Revoking a Patent . . . . .	151
IV. Procedural Law . . . . .	152
1. Basic Principles . . . . .	152
2. Case Management . . . . .	152
3. Service of Documents; Time Limits . . . . .	153
4. Regulations Governing Defaulted Time Limits . . . . .	154
5. Right to a Fair Hearing . . . . .	155
a) Form of the Decisions and Hearings . . . . .	155
b) <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Briefs . . . . .	155
6. <i>Ex Officio</i> Investigations . . . . .	156
7. Public Nature of the Proceedings . . . . .	156
a) Publication of Rulings and Decisions . . . . .	156
b) Request for Publication of Written Submissions and Evidence . . . . .	156
c) Confidential Documents . . . . .	157
d) Request to Lift Confidentiality . . . . .	157
e) Imposing Confidentiality On the Opposite Party . . . . .	157
8. Requirements for Means of Evidence and Offering of Evidence . . . . .	158

a)	Requirements for Means of Evidence . . . . .	158
b)	Offering of Evidence . . . . .	159
9.	Decision by Default. . . . .	160
10.	Further Processing and Re-Establishment of Rights . . . . .	161
11.	Representation . . . . .	162
12.	Nature of the Appeal Proceeding . . . . .	163
13.	Providing Security for Costs . . . . .	164
a)	Security to Cover the Costs of a Party . . . . .	164
b)	Providing Security for Costs of the Court . . . . .	164
14.	Mediation and Settlement . . . . .	164
a)	Mediation . . . . .	164
b)	Guidelines for Mediation . . . . .	165
c)	Settlement . . . . .	166
15.	Disposal or Denial of an Action. . . . .	166
16.	Recurring Penalty Payments . . . . .	167
17.	The Role of the European Court of Justice (ECJ) . . . . .	168
V.	Revisions to the UPC Agreement and to the Rules . . . . .	168
1.	Revision After Seven Years 2,000 Infringement Proceedings . . . . .	168
2.	Revisions Deriving from International Treaties or EU Law . . . . .	168
3.	Efficacy of Revisions; Objections by a Contracting Member State. . . . .	168
4.	Amending the UPC's Rules of Procedure and Statute . . . . .	169
 <b>Chapter 8: Strategic Considerations: What Will the Unified Patent Court's Rulings Look Like?</b> . . . . .		170
I.	Evaluating the Overall Patent Situation/Landscape . . . . .	170
II.	The UPC's Powers to Order Injunctions and Award Damages. . . . .	174
1.	Injunctive Relief . . . . .	174
a)	Writs of Injunction. . . . .	176
b)	Standard-Essential Patents . . . . .	177
c)	Is Product Recall Integral to the Claim to Injunctive Relief? . . . . .	178
d)	Can Products Delivered Abroad Be Recalled Also? . . . . .	178
e)	Is There a Claim to Information on Recall Letters?. . . . .	179
2.	Award of Damages . . . . .	179
a)	Do the Guidelines on the Value of the Action Serve as a Benchmark (?) . . . . .	179
b)	Abatement of Damage Indemnity Due to a Missing Translation. . . . .	180
c)	Claims to Information. . . . .	180
 <b>Section 3: 1st Instance Infringement Proceedings.</b> . . . . .		182
<i>(Aloys Hüttermann)</i>		
 <b>Chapter 9: Course of a 1st Instance Infringement Proceeding.</b> . . . . .		182
I.	Fundamentals . . . . .	182
II.	Written Procedure . . . . .	183
1.	Bringing the Action . . . . .	183
a)	Regulations on the Use of Languages . . . . .	184

## Contents

---

b) Legal Capacity to Bring Action . . . . .	184
2. Examination of Formal Requirements and Assignment to a 1 <sup>st</sup> Instance Panel . . . . .	184
3. Lodging the Statement of Defense . . . . .	185
a) Lodging a Preliminary Objection . . . . .	185
b) Lodging a Statement of Defense . . . . .	186
4. Counterclaim for Revocation . . . . .	187
5. Further Steps of the Written Procedure . . . . .	188
a) Reply/Rejoinder in the Absence of a Counterclaim . . . . .	188
b) Defense and Reply in the Event of a Counterclaim . . . . .	190
aa) Course of the Proceedings for a Counterclaim Without Patent Amendments . . . . .	191
bb) Course of a Proceedings with Counterclaim and Patent Amendments . . . . .	192
6. Allocation of a Technical Judge . . . . .	193
III. Interim Procedure . . . . .	193
1. Decision on Splitting Off Counterclaim Proceedings . . . . .	193
a) The Panel Conducts Both Proceedings . . . . .	194
b) The Panel Transfers the Revocation Counterclaim Proceeding to the Central Division . . . . .	194
c) Both proceedings are referred to the central division. . . . .	195
2. Nature of the Interim Procedure . . . . .	195
3. Interim Conference . . . . .	196
IV. Oral Procedure . . . . .	196
V. Decision on the Merits . . . . .	198
1. Settlement/Mediation . . . . .	200
2. Implementation/Enforcement of the Decision on the Merits . . . . .	200
VI. Costs proceeding . . . . .	200
VII. Damage-Award Procedure . . . . .	201
1. Request to Lay Open the Books . . . . .	202
2. Award of Damages . . . . .	204
3. Course of the Procedure . . . . .	204
<b>Chapter 10: Strategic Considerations: How Should an Infringement     Proceeding Be Conducted? . . . . .</b>	<b>206</b>
I. Strategic Considerations Relevant for Both Parties . . . . .	207
1. Pretrial Matters and Amicable Settlements . . . . .	207
2. Organizational Matters . . . . .	208
a) Decision by Default and Re-Establishment of Rights . . . . .	210
b) Judge-Rapporteur/Interim Procedure . . . . .	211
c) Oral Hearing . . . . .	211
d) Summary Overview . . . . .	213
3. Public Nature of the Proceedings . . . . .	213
4. Splitting the Proceedings Following a Revocation Counterclaim . . . . .	213

5. Representation . . . . .	214
a) How Many Participants per Party? . . . . .	214
b) What Are the Available Representation Options? . . . . .	215
aa) Representation by an In-House Attorney . . . . .	215
bb) Representation Through an External Attorney . . . . .	216
cc) Representation Through a Patent Attorney . . . . .	218
dd) Dual Representation . . . . .	218
6. Witnesses and External Experts . . . . .	219
7. Costs . . . . .	221
a) Unprovoked Legal Action . . . . .	221
b) Cost Apportionment Following a Decision by Default . . . . .	221
8. Standard-Essential Patents . . . . .	222
II. Strategic Considerations for the Patent Proprietor . . . . .	222
1. Which Division Should be Selected? . . . . .	222
2. Lodging the Statement of Claim . . . . .	223
a) Choice of Languages . . . . .	224
b) Determining the Subject Matter of the Action . . . . .	224
c) Determining the Territorial Reach . . . . .	225
d) Naming the Defendant . . . . .	226
3. Auxiliary Requests in Revocation Counterclaim Proceedings . . . . .	226
4. Should Enforcement of the 1st Instance Decision be Requested? . . . . .	228
5. Damage-Award Proceedings; Claim to Damages and Information . . . . .	229
III. Strategic Considerations for the Defendant . . . . .	229
1. Should a Preliminary Objection Pursuant to Rule 19 Be Lodged? . . . . .	229
2. Should a Preliminary Objection Be Lodged in Actions Before the Central Division? . . . . .	230
3. Should a Revocation Counterclaim Be Lodged? . . . . .	230
4. How Should the Argumentation Be Structured? . . . . .	231
5. Argumentation Regarding Injunction and Damages . . . . .	231
<b>Section 4: Appeal Proceedings . . . . .</b>	<b>233</b>
<i>(Aloys Hüttermann)</i>	
<b>Chapter 11: Overview of the Appeal Proceeding . . . . .</b>	<b>233</b>
I. Appeals Not Requiring Leave . . . . .	234
II. Appeals Requiring Leave . . . . .	234
1. Decision of the Panel of First Instance . . . . .	234
2. Appeal Requiring Leave During an Ongoing Proceeding . . . . .	235
a) Case A): The First Instance Panel Grants Leave to the Appeal . . . . .	235
b) Case B) The First Instance Panel Refuses Leave for the Appeal . . . . .	235
III. Appeals Against Cost Decisions . . . . .	236
IV. Non-Appealable Decisions . . . . .	237
V. Application for Suspensive Effect . . . . .	237
VI. Course of the Proceeding . . . . .	237
1. Written Procedure . . . . .	237

## Contents

---

a)	Contents of the Formal Appeal . . . . .	237
b)	Statement of Grounds of Appeal . . . . .	238
c)	Examination as to Formal Requirements . . . . .	238
d)	Respondent's Statement of Response . . . . .	239
e)	Course of the Proceeding When a Cross-Appeal is Lodged . . . . .	239
f)	Further Course of the Proceeding . . . . .	240
2.	Referrals to the Full Court . . . . .	240
3.	Interim Procedure and Oral Hearing . . . . .	241
VII.	Decisions of the Court of Appeals . . . . .	241
<b>Chapter 12: Strategic Considerations for Appeals . . . . .</b>		<b>242</b>
I.	Appeals During Ongoing Proceedings? . . . . .	242
II.	Appeals Against Final Decisions? . . . . .	243
III.	Strategies for the Appeal Proceeding . . . . .	243
1.	Should a Cross-Claim Be Brought? . . . . .	243
2.	Should Suspensive Effect of the Appeal Be Applied For? . . . . .	243
3.	Organizational Aspects . . . . .	244
<b>Section 5: Other Types of Proceedings . . . . .</b>		<b>245</b>
<i>(Aloys Hüttermann)</i>		
<b>Chapter 13: Description of Other Types of Proceedings . . . . .</b>		<b>245</b>
I.	Revocation Actions . . . . .	245
1.	Lodging the Statement for Revocation . . . . .	246
a)	Entitlement to Bring the Action; Language Used . . . . .	246
b)	Partial Challenge to the Disputed Patent . . . . .	247
2.	Course of the Proceeding . . . . .	247
3.	Revocation Actions in Parallel with Infringement Proceedings . . . . .	249
4.	Revocation Actions and Parallel Opposition or Limitation Proceedings . . . . .	249
II.	Actions for Declaration of Non-Infringement . . . . .	250
1.	Lodging the Statement for a Declaration of Non-Infringement . . . . .	251
2.	Written Procedure . . . . .	251
3.	Retroactively Lodged Infringements Actions . . . . .	252
III.	Actions Against Decisions of the European Patent Office . . . . .	253
1.	Application to Annul an EPO Decision to Refuse Unitary Effect . . . . .	253
a)	Appeal Proceeding . . . . .	254
2.	Actions Against the European Patent Office . . . . .	254
IV.	Intervention Proceedings . . . . .	256
1.	Intervention Upon Application . . . . .	256
2.	Intervention Upon Invitation by the Court . . . . .	257
V.	Legal Aid . . . . .	259
1.	Application for Legal Aid and Course of the Proceeding . . . . .	260
2.	Withdrawal of Legal Aid . . . . .	261
3.	Appeals in Matters Involving Legal Aid . . . . .	261
VI.	Actions for Payment of License Fees When a Willingness to License is Given . . . . .	262
VII.	Rehearing Proceedings . . . . .	262

<b>Chapter 14: Strategic Considerations for Actions for Revocation or for Declaration of Non-Infringement</b> . . . . .	265
I. Strategic Considerations for Revocation Actions . . . . .	265
1. Strategic Considerations for Both Parties . . . . .	265
2. Strategic Considerations for the Plaintiff . . . . .	266
a) Should a Revocation Action Be Brought Before the UPC? . . . . .	266
b) Pros and Cons of Opposition Proceedings Before the EPO . . . . .	266
c) Advantages and Disadvantages of National Revocation Actions . . . . .	268
d) Pros and Cons of Revocation Actions Before the Unified Patent Court . . . . .	269
e) Pros and Cons of Revocation Counterclaims Before the Unified Patent Court . . . . .	270
f) Overall Conclusions . . . . .	271
3. Strategic Considerations for the Defendant . . . . .	272
a) Should a Counterclaim for Patent Infringement Be Lodged? . . . . .	272
II. Strategic Considerations for Actions for Declaration of Non-infringement . . . . .	273
1. Fundamentals . . . . .	273
2. Patent-Strategy Considerations for the Plaintiff . . . . .	273
a) Should Action for Declaration of Non-Infringement Be Lodged? . . . . .	273
3. Patent-Strategy Considerations for the Defendant . . . . .	273
a) Should an Infringement Action Be Lodged Within the Time Limit? . . . . .	273
<b>Section 6: Pretrial Proceedings</b> . . . . .	275
<i>(Aloys Hüttermann)</i>	
<b>Chapter 15: Introduction to Pretrial Proceedings</b> . . . . .	275
I. Proceedings for Provisional Measures . . . . .	276
1. Course of the Proceeding for Provisional Measures . . . . .	276
a) Application for Provisional Measures . . . . .	276
b) Course of the Proceeding for Provisional Measures . . . . .	277
aa) Course of the Proceedings When the Applicant Requests Provisional Measures Without Hearing the Defendant . . . . .	278
bb) Course of the Proceeding Without Application Not to Hear the Defendant . . . . .	281
2. Protective Letters . . . . .	281
3. Right to Request a Main Proceeding on the Merits . . . . .	282
4. Compensation of Damage . . . . .	283
II. Applications for Preserving Evidence and for Inspection . . . . .	283
1. Course of the Proceeding . . . . .	283
a) Application for Preserving Evidence . . . . .	283
b) Examination of the Application . . . . .	284
c) Course of Proceedings When the Applicant Requests Preservation of Evidence Without Hearing the Defendant . . . . .	285
aa) Implementing the Preservation of Evidence . . . . .	285
bb) Defendant's Options for an Appeal . . . . .	286

## Contents

---

d) Course of the Proceeding When the Applicant Requests Preservation of Evidence, But Not Without Hearing the Defendant . . . . .	288
2. Protective Letters . . . . .	288
3. Main Proceedings on the Merits . . . . .	288
4. Compensation for Damage . . . . .	289
III. Order to Freeze Assets . . . . .	289
<b>Chapter 16: Strategic Considerations for Pretrial Proceedings . . . . .</b>	<b>290</b>
I. Strategic Considerations for Provisional Measures . . . . .	290
1. Strategic Considerations for Both Parties . . . . .	290
2. Strategic Considerations for the Patent Proprietor . . . . .	292
a) Should One Apply to the UPC for Provisional Measures? . . . . .	292
b) Or Should Provisional Measures Be Sought on the National Level While the Main Proceedings are Brought Before the UPC? . . . . .	292
c) What is the Best Line of Argumentation to Take? . . . . .	293
aa) Argumentation Regarding Damage Compensation in the Application for Provisional Measures . . . . .	294
bb) Argumentation Regarding Damage Compensation When Provisional Measures Are Revoked . . . . .	295
cc) Temporally Limited Provisional Measures? . . . . .	295
3. Strategic Considerations for the Alleged Patent Infringer/Defendant of the Application for Provisional Measures . . . . .	296
a) Should Protective Letters Be Lodged? . . . . .	296
b) Which Line of Argumentation to Use in a Protective Letter? . . . . .	296
II. Strategic Considerations for Proceedings for Preserving Evidence . . . . .	298
1. Is There Such a Thing as a “Discovery” Proceeding Before the Unified Patent Court? . . . . .	298
2. Strategic Considerations for Patent Proprietors . . . . .	299
a) Should an Application for Preserving Evidence Be Lodged With the Unified Patent Court? . . . . .	299
b) How to Argue in a Proceeding for Preserving Evidence? . . . . .	299
c) Applying for the Production of Evidence as an Alternative . . . . .	301
3. Strategic Considerations for Affected Parties . . . . .	301
a) Should a Protective Letter Be Lodged? . . . . .	301
b) Should One Cooperate in the Preservation of Evidence? . . . . .	301
c) Handover of the Expert’s Report to the Applicant . . . . .	302
<b>Section 7: Enforcement . . . . .</b>	<b>303</b>
<b>Chapter 17: Enforcement of Decisions and Orders . . . . .</b>	<b>303</b>
<i>(Aloys Hüttermann)</i>	
I. Damage Due to Enforcement and the Lodging of Securities . . . . .	303
II. Timing of the Enforcement . . . . .	304
III. Suspension of Enforcement . . . . .	304
IV. Penalty Payments . . . . .	305

<b>Chapter 18: Strategic Considerations for Enforcement</b> . . . . .	306
<i>(Aloys Hüttermann)</i>	
I. Conditional Decision . . . . .	306
II. Seek Penalty Payments or Bring a New Infringement Action? . . . . .	306
<b>Chapter 19: Enforcement in Belgium</b> . . . . .	308
<i>(Michaël Beck)</i>	
I. Procedural aspects . . . . .	308
1. Language issues . . . . .	308
2. Course of an enforcement procedure . . . . .	308
a) Role of the Bailiffs . . . . .	308
b) Course of the procedure . . . . .	309
c) Seizure . . . . .	310
d) Temporary Protection from creditors . . . . .	310
<b>Chapter 20: Enforcement in Denmark</b> . . . . .	311
<i>(Henrik Bendiksen)</i>	
I. General Provisions . . . . .	311
1. Preliminary injunctions . . . . .	311
2. Criminal Proceedings . . . . .	312
3. Other Proceedings . . . . .	312
a) Border measures . . . . .	312
b) Securing of evidence . . . . .	313
II. Procedural aspects . . . . .	313
1. Course of the procedure . . . . .	313
2. Countermeasures of the debtor . . . . .	314
<b>Chapter 21: Enforcement in Germany</b> . . . . .	315
<i>(Aloys Hüttermann)</i>	
I. Prerequisites for enforcement . . . . .	315
II. Competent enforcement court . . . . .	315
III. Course of the execution . . . . .	315
1. Enforcement in the case of movable property and objects . . . . .	316
2. Enforcement of rights and claims . . . . .	316
3. Real Estate . . . . .	316
IV. Legal Remedies . . . . .	316
1. Reminder . . . . .	316
2. Enforcement defense action . . . . .	317
3. Third-party actions . . . . .	317
<b>Chapter 22: Enforcement in France</b> . . . . .	318
<i>(Pierre Véron)</i>	
I. Enforcing a French judicial decision in France . . . . .	318
1. The legal framework and the players of the enforcement . . . . .	318
2. Enforcement measures . . . . .	319

## Contents

---

II. Provisional measures . . . . .	320
III. Enforcing a UPC decision in France . . . . .	321
<b>Chapter 23: Enforcement in Italy . . . . .</b>	<b>323</b>
<i>(Cesare Corradini)</i>	
I. Competence of the Court . . . . .	323
II. Procedure of the enforcement . . . . .	323
III. Possibilities of Third parties to intervene . . . . .	324
<b>Chapter 24: Enforcement in the Netherlands . . . . .</b>	<b>325</b>
<i>(Frank Eijsvogels/Judith Krens)</i>	
<b>Chapter 25: Enforcement in Austria . . . . .</b>	<b>327</b>
<i>(Thomas Adocker)</i>	
I. Determining the Court of Jurisdiction . . . . .	328
II. Procedural Aspects . . . . .	328
1. Enforcement Targeting Moveable Chattels and Physical Items . . . . .	328
2. Enforcement Targeting Legal Rights and Claims Receivable . . . . .	329
3. Enforcement Targeting Real Property . . . . .	329
III. Course of the Proceeding . . . . .	329
1. Legal Remedies . . . . .	329
2. Insolvency . . . . .	330
IV. Open Issues . . . . .	330
<b>Chapter 26: Enforcement in Finland . . . . .</b>	<b>332</b>
<i>(Kim Finnilä/Suvi Julin)</i>	
<b>Chapter 27: Enforcement in Sweden . . . . .</b>	<b>333</b>
<i>(Anders Hansson)</i>	
I. Institutions and procedure . . . . .	333
II. Enforcing decisions of the Unified Patent Court . . . . .	335
<b>Section 8: Comparison with National Law . . . . .</b>	<b>337</b>
<b>Chapter 28: Comparison with Opposition Proceedings Before the European Patent Office . . . . .</b>	<b>337</b>
<i>(Aloys Hüttermann)</i>	
I. Similarities . . . . .	337
II. Differences . . . . .	338
<b>Chapter 29: Comparison with Belgian Law . . . . .</b>	<b>339</b>
<i>(Michaël Beck)</i>	
I. Substantive Patent Law . . . . .	339
II. Descriptive Measures – Preliminary Measures . . . . .	339
III. Proceedings on the Merits . . . . .	340

<b>Chapter 30: Comparison with Danish law</b> . . . . .	342
<i>(Henrik Bendiksen)</i>	
I. Similarities . . . . .	342
II. Differences . . . . .	344
<b>Chapter 31: Comparison with German Law</b> . . . . .	345
<i>(Aloys Hüttermann)</i>	
I. Similarities . . . . .	345
II. Differences . . . . .	346
<b>Chapter 32: Comparison with French Law</b> . . . . .	347
<i>(Pierre Véron)</i>	
I. Structure . . . . .	347
II. Composition . . . . .	348
III. Representation . . . . .	348
IV. Jurisdiction . . . . .	348
V. Procedural Principles . . . . .	349
VI. Similarities and Differences . . . . .	350
VII. Costs of Proceedings . . . . .	352
1. Decisions on costs . . . . .	352
2. Recovery of costs and attorney's fees: . . . . .	352
<b>Chapter 33: Comparison with Italian Law</b> . . . . .	353
<i>(Cesare Corradini)</i>	
I. Similarities . . . . .	353
II. Differences . . . . .	354
<b>Chapter 34: Comparison with Dutch Law</b> . . . . .	357
<i>(Frank Eijsvogels / Judith Krens)</i>	
I. Introduction . . . . .	357
II. Procedure of the court of 1st instance . . . . .	358
1. Written Procedure . . . . .	358
2. Interim Procedure . . . . .	359
3. Oral hearing . . . . .	359
4. Damages and cost procedures . . . . .	359
5. Evidence . . . . .	360
III. Provisional measures . . . . .	360
IV. Appeal Procedure . . . . .	361
V. General provisions . . . . .	361
1. Decisions and orders . . . . .	361
2. Settlement . . . . .	362
<b>Chapter 35: Comparison with Austrian Law</b> . . . . .	363
<i>(Thomas Adoeker)</i>	
I. Fundamentals . . . . .	363
II. Proceedings for Preliminary Injunction . . . . .	364

## Contents

---

III. Revocation Proceedings . . . . .	364
IV. Infringement Proceedings . . . . .	365
V. Proceedings for Preserving Evidence . . . . .	366
VI. Legal Remedies/Appeals . . . . .	366
<b>Chapter 36: Comparison with Finnish Law . . . . .</b>	<b>368</b>
<i>(Kim Finnilä / Suvi Julin)</i>	
I. Similarities . . . . .	368
II. Differences . . . . .	369
1. General . . . . .	369
2. Representation . . . . .	370
3. Costs . . . . .	370
<b>Chapter 37: Comparison with Swedish Law . . . . .</b>	<b>371</b>
<i>(Anders Hansson)</i>	
I. Similarities . . . . .	371
II. Differences . . . . .	373
<b>Chapter 38: Comparison with US Law . . . . .</b>	<b>375</b>
<i>(John Richards)</i>	
I. Introduction . . . . .	375
II. Tribunals and their Subject Matter Jurisdiction . . . . .	376
1. Federal District Courts . . . . .	376
2. Court of Claims . . . . .	377
3. International Trade Commission (ITC) . . . . .	377
4. Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB) . . . . .	377
5. Appeals/ CAFC . . . . .	378
III. Personal Jurisdiction . . . . .	378
1. Situation in the US . . . . .	379
IV. Substantive Law to be Applied by the for a . . . . .	382
1. Situation before the Unified Patent Court . . . . .	382
2. Situation in the US . . . . .	382
V. Remedies for Infringement . . . . .	383
1. Injunctions . . . . .	384
2. Damages . . . . .	385
3. Enhanced damages . . . . .	388
4. Award of Attorney fees . . . . .	389
VI. Provisional and Protective Measures . . . . .	389
1. Preliminary Injunctions . . . . .	389
2. Production and Preservation of Evidence . . . . .	390
VII. Who can bring Suit for Patent Infringement . . . . .	391
VIII. Who Can Seek to Have Patent Declared Invalid or Revoked . . . . .	393
IX. Procedure in General . . . . .	394
1. The Situation before the Unified Patent Court . . . . .	394
2. U.S. District Court Procedure . . . . .	395
a) Pleadings . . . . .	396

b)	Case Management Conference . . . . .	397
c)	Claim Construction . . . . .	397
d)	Discovery . . . . .	398
f)	Trial . . . . .	401
g)	Motions . . . . .	403
aa)	Motion for Summary Judgment . . . . .	404
h)	Determination of Damage awards and award of costs . . . . .	404
3.	PTAB Procedure . . . . .	405
a)	Differences and Similarities of Inter Partes Review and Post Grant Review . . . . .	405
b)	Sequence of Events . . . . .	407
4.	Appeal . . . . .	408
<b>Chapter 39: Comparison with Chinese Law . . . . .</b>		<b>410</b>
<i>(Qinghua Zhou/Peipei Liu/Junwei Li)</i>		
I.	Court System and Jurisdiction of Litigations regarding Patent Infringement . . .	410
II.	Eligible Plaintiffs for Patent Infringement Actions. . . . .	412
III.	Litigation Procedures . . . . .	413
IV.	Provisional Protective Measures . . . . .	415
V.	Procedures of Patent Invalidation . . . . .	416
1.	Review of Invalidation Requests . . . . .	416
2.	Making of the Invalidation Decision . . . . .	416
3.	Judicial Remedies after Invalidation . . . . .	416
VI.	Determination of the Amount of Compensation and Undertaking Of Reasonable Expenses . . . . .	417
VII.	Administrative Protection of Patent . . . . .	418
<b>Chapter 40: Comparison with Japanese Law . . . . .</b>		<b>419</b>
<i>(Shimako Kato)</i>		
I.	Jurisdiction and Framework for Patent Infringement Litigation . . . . .	419
II.	Courts . . . . .	420
III.	Counsel . . . . .	420
IV.	Characteristics of Patent Infringement Litigation . . . . .	421
1.	Outline of Procedure . . . . .	421
2.	Preliminary injunction . . . . .	422
3.	Evidence . . . . .	422
4.	Use of Experts . . . . .	423
5.	Inspection of judgment and case record . . . . .	423
V.	Recovery of litigation costs . . . . .	424
<b>Chapter 41: Comparison with Korean Law . . . . .</b>		<b>425</b>
<i>(Young-Ki Ha)</i>		
I.	Korean Court System . . . . .	425
II.	Types of Legal Actions . . . . .	427
1.	Civil Action . . . . .	427
a)	Main Action. . . . .	427

## Contents

---

b) Preliminary Injunction Action . . . . .	429
c) Invalidation Action . . . . .	430
d) Confirmation of Scope Action . . . . .	430
2. Criminal Action . . . . .	431
3. Other Actions. . . . .	431
a) Warning Letter. . . . .	431
b) Trade Sanction . . . . .	431
<b>Part 4: Strategic Options . . . . .</b>	<b>433</b>
<i>(Aloys Hüttermann)</i>	
<b>Chapter 42: Summary Overview of the Strategic Options. . . . .</b>	<b>435</b>
I. The Unitary Patent . . . . .	435
II. The Unified Patent Court . . . . .	436
1. Opting Out of the Jurisdiction of the Unified Patent Court . . . . .	436
2. National Patents as an Alternative . . . . .	437
3. General Considerations . . . . .	437
a) Considerations for Patent Proprietors . . . . .	438
b) Considerations for Potential Patent Infringers . . . . .	438
<b>Annex 1: Regulation (EU) No 1257/2012. . . . .</b>	<b>443</b>
<b>Annex 2: Regulation (EU) No 1260/2012. . . . .</b>	<b>454</b>
<b>Annex 3: Agreement on a Unified Patent Court (2013/C 175/01) . . . . .</b>	<b>459</b>
<b>Annex 4: Rules of Procedure of the Unified Patent Court. . . . .</b>	<b>489</b>
<b>Annex 5: Annex I to the UPCA: Statute of the Unified Patent Court . . . . .</b>	<b>605</b>
<b>Annex 6: Annex II to the UPCA: Distribution of Cases within     the Central Division . . . . .</b>	<b>615</b>
Register of Decisions . . . . .	617
Index . . . . .	623