Algorithmic Regulation and Personalized Law

Busch / De Franceschi

2021 ISBN 978-3-406-74391-7 C.H.BECK

Algorithmic Regulation and Personalized Law

A Handbook

edited by

Christoph Busch Alberto De Franceschi





Published by

Verlag C.H.Beck oHG, Wilhelmstraße 9, 80801 München, Germany email: bestellung@beck.de

Co-published by

Hart Publishing, Kemp House, Chawley Park, Cumnor Hill, Oxford, OX2 9PH, United Kingdom online at: www.hartpub.co.uk

and

Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG, Waldseestraße 3–5, 76530 Baden-Baden, Germany email: nomos@nomos.de

Published in North America by Hart Publishing An Imprint of Bloomsbury Publishing 1385 Broadway, New York, NY 10018, USA email: mail@hartpub.co.uk

Suggested citation:
[Author], in: Busch/De Franceschi,
Algorithmic Regulation and Personalized Law, 2021, p. [#], mn. [#]

beck-shop.deDIE FACHBUCHHANDLUNG

ISBN 978 3 406 74391 7 (C.H.BECK) ISBN 978 1 5099 3175 0 (HART) ISBN 978 3 8487 6920 9 (NOMOS)

© 2021 Verlag C.H.Beck oHG Wilhelmstr. 9, 80801 München Printed in Germany by Beltz Grafische Betriebe GmbH Am Fliegerhorst 8, 99947 Bad Langensalza Typeset by Reemers Publishing Services GmbH, Krefeld Cover: Druckerei C.H.Beck Nördlingen



All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission of Verlag C.H.Beck, or as expressly permitted by law under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to C.H.Beck at the address above.

| List of Authors |
|--|
| INTRODUCTION |
| Personalization and Granularity of Legal Norms in the Data Economy: A Transatlantic Debate (Busch/De Franceschi) |
| Don't 1 |
| Part 1 The Concept of Personalized Law |
| A. Personalizing Default Rules and Disclosure with Big Data (Porat/Strahilevitz) |
| I. Introduction |
| II. Theories of personalized default rules |
| 1. Contract law default rules |
| 2. Majoritarian default rules |
| a) Ín general |
| b) Personalized Majoritarian Default Rules |
| 3. Minoritarian (or penalty) default rules |
| a) In general |
| b) Minoritarian default rules as facilitators of personalized default rules |
| 4. Third-party effects |
| III. The feasibility of personalized default rules |
| 1. Big Data and Big Five |
| 2. Big Data in the law |
| a) Consumer contracts |
| b) Organ donation |
| c) Medical malpractice |
| d) Landlord-tenant law |
| e) Labor law |
| 3. Big Data guinea pigs |
| IV. Possible Objections and Limitations |
| 1. Cross Subsidies |
| 2. Strategic Behavior |
| 3. Abuse by Merchants |
| 4. Uncertainty |
| 5. Case law Fragmentation |
| 6. Statistics, Stereotyping, and Valuable Default Rules |
| 7. Subordination, adaptive preferences, and personalization |
| 8. Privacy |
| 9. "But I Can Change!" and opting in |
| V. Personalized disclosure |
| VI. Conclusion |
| |
| B. Personalizing Negligence Law (Ben-Shahar/Porat) |
| I. Introduction |
| II. Personalized negligence under existing law |
| 1. Diminished capacity |
| a) Physically disabled |
| b) Children |
| c) Mentally disabled |
| 2. Elevated capacity |
| 3 Resource-based personalization |

| 4. Personalization through insurance? | . 65 |
|---|-------|
| 5. Summary | |
| III. The efficiency of personalized standards | . 67 |
| 1. Levels of care | . 67 |
| a) Skill-based personalization | 67 |
| b) Risk-based personalization | 69 |
| c) Self-personalization | 71 |
| d) Summary | |
| 2. Levels of activity | |
| | |
| a) Improving or distorting levels of activity | . /4 |
| b) Activity levels with self-personalization | |
| c) A hybrid regime | |
| 3. Victim care | |
| a) Skill-based personalization | |
| b) Risk-based personalization | |
| 4. Ex ante investment in improving private characteristics | |
| a) Skill-based personalization | |
| aa) Personalized Standards | 79 |
| bb) Uniform standards with full compliance | . 79 |
| b) Risk-based personalization | 80 |
| aa) Personalized standards | |
| bb) Uniform standards with full compliance | |
| 5. Summary | |
| IV. Justice considerations | |
| 1. Corrective justice | |
| 2. Distributive justice | |
| a) Among injurers | |
| b) Victims versus injurers | |
| V. Broadening personalization | 87 |
| | |
| 1. Procedures for implementing personalized standards | . 00 |
| a) Gradual personalization | 89 |
| b) Presumptions | 89 |
| 2. Which personal information? | 90 |
| a) Physical characteristicsb) Cognitive and emotional characteristics | 91 |
| b) Cognitive and emotional characteristics | . 91 |
| c) Past behaviors | 94 |
| d) Resources | |
| VI. Conclusion | 95 |
| C. The Death of Rules and Standards (Casey/Niblett) | . 98 |
| I. Introduction | . 98 |
| II. The emergence of microdirectives and the decline of rules and standards | |
| | |
| 1. Background: Rules and standards | |
| 2. Technology will facilitate the emergence of microdirectives as a new form of law | |
| a) Predictive Technology | |
| b) Communication Technology | |
| c) Summary | . 104 |
| 3. Examples | |
| a) Predictive technology in medical diagnosis | |
| b) Communication technology in traffic laws | . 108 |
| 4. The different channels leading to the death of rules and standards | 109 |
| a) The production of microdirectives by nonlegislative lawmakers | . 109 |
| aa) Regulatory microdirectives | |
| bb) Judicial microdirectives | |
| b) An alternative path: Private use of technology by regulated actors | |
| III. Conclusion | |

Part 2 Critique and Theoretical Perspectives

| D. The Law between Generality and Particularity. Chances and Limits of Personalized Law (Grigoleit/Bender) | 115 |
|--|------|
| | |
| I. Introduction | |
| II. Distinctions and notional specifications | 116 |
| 1. Technology-related specifications | 116 |
| a) Technological vs. conventional | 117 |
| b) Rule-elaboration (Big Data) vs. rule-communication (Big Link) | |
| 2. Law-related specifications | 118 |
| a) Factual-private vs. legal-public | |
| b) Rules vs. standards | 120 |
| c) Evolution (changes within the system) vs. revolution (changes of the system) | 121 |
| III. Evolutionary perspectives | |
| 1. Torts: The reasonable standard of care | |
| a) Limits of personalization of standards | 122 |
| b) Ex post-rule-personalization | 122 |
| c) Ex ante-rule-personalization | |
| 2. Contracts | |
| a) Default Rules | |
| aa) Theoretical objections to the purely empirical approach to default rules | |
| bb) Constitutional limits: equality and freedom | |
| b) Disclosure rules | 130 |
| IV. Revolutionary perspectives | 131 |
| The digital revolution as a factual phenomenon | 131 |
| a) Generalizing the field-specific approach | 131 |
| b) Especially: dealing with probabilities | 121 |
| 2. Limitations to changes of the very structure of the legal system | |
| a) Legitimacy-related concerns with regard to algorithmization | 122 |
| a) Legitimacy-related concerns with regard to algorithmization | 132 |
| b) Constitutional concerns with regard to personalization | 133 |
| aa) Separation of powers: possible power shifts away from the parliament | 122 |
| and the judiciary | 133 |
| bb) Democracy: dissolution of publicity | 134 |
| cc) Equality: discrimination and dissolution | |
| V. Conclusions | 136 |
| E. Granular Norms and the Concept of Law: A Critique (Auer) | 137 |
| I. The Inevitability of Legal Typification | |
| II. The Problem of Algorithmic Discrimination | 1/12 |
| III. The Scope of Granular Law and the Rise of Consumerism | 145 |
| IV. Regulation and the Rule of Law | 143 |
| V. Regulation and the Rule of Law | 149 |
| V. Granularization and the Problem of Rule-Following | 131 |
| F. Logopoeia: Normative Typification and Granular Norm's Informational Differentiation | 155 |
| (Femia) | |
| I. More acts or more words: negotia, pragmata, activities | |
| II. Two ways of grasping reality: taming the chaos with Emilio Betti and Tullio Ascarelli | 157 |
| III. End of the journey among the concepts' penumbra. From type to typification, | |
| and from typification to dissemination | |
| | 161 |
| V. Nomogenesis at the intersection point between normative technique and informational | |
| limit | |
| VI. The loss of informational innocence | |
| VII. Norms on the move | |
| VIII. Les communications & les commerces | 166 |
| IX. Politics or Algorithmics | 170 |

| (Denozza/Maugeri) |
|--|
| II. The costs of granularization: the many shortcomings of algorithmic governmentality |
| |
| |
| IV. Is granularization efficient? Abstraction and totality in neoliberal thought |
| V. Granularization and cross subsidy |
| VI. What's wrong, if anything, with cross-subsidy? |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| Part 3 |
| Personalization in Contract, Consumer and Tort Law |
| H. 'Granular Legal Norms' in the Financial Services Trade (Sirena) |
| I. The advent of a digital law |
| II. The trend towards the personalization of private law: from the 'average consumer' |
| to the 'images of the consumer' |
| III. The discourse on granular legal norms (particularly with regard to the duties of |
| disclosure provided by European contract law) |
| IV. The personalization of financial services |
| 1. The know-your customer rule in investment services |
| 2. The know-your customer rule in insurance services |
| The know-your-customer rule in credit banking V. Some final remarks |
| |
| I. De- or Re-typification through Big Data Analytics? The Case of Consumer Law |
| (Micklitz) |
| I. Clarification and Argument |
| II. From Typification to Granularization prior to Big Data Analytics |
| 1. Consumer images |
| 2. Consumer law 2.0 |
| 3. Contractual underworld |
| 4. Consumerization of consumer law |
| III. From Granularization to Personalization through Big Data Analytics |
| 2. Big data analytics |
| IV. Big Data Analytics in Law Making and Law Enforcement |
| Evidence based policy through big data analytics |
| 2. Shortcomings of statistics |
| V. Prospects for big data analytics in consumer law |
| 1. Information rights and obligations |
| 2. Reducing the complexity of information through big data analytics |
| 3. Complexities of consumer law enforcement |
| 4. Reducing complexity of enforcement through big data? |
| VI. Big Data Analytics and Re-typification |
| J. Personalization of the Law and Unfair Terms in Consumer Contracts (Patti) |
| I. Introduction |
| II. The setting within the European context |
| The setting within the European context The theoretical framework |
| 2. The European methodology |
| a) The scope of application of the judicial control |
| b) The unfairness test |
| c) The consequences of unfairness |
| |
| 3. A personalized approach? |
| 3. A personalized approach? |
| A personalized approach? III. The role of personalized law |
| III. The role of personalized law |
| III. The role of personalized law |

| K. Personalization of Tort Law? (von Bar) | 236 |
|--|-----|
| Part 4 | |
| Technological and Behavioral Perspectives | |
| | 241 |
| L. Personalized Law and the Behavioral Sciences (Hacker) | |
| Netry short introduction to behavioral law and economics Behavioral economics | |
| 2. Legal applications | |
| II. The knowledge problem in behavioral law and economics | |
| Uncertainty about the true rationality of market actors | |
| 2. Psychometrics and the quantification of bias | |
| 3. Personalized law as a solution to the knowledge problem | 246 |
| III. Examples of personalized behavioral law | 247 |
| 1. Disclosures | |
| a) General idea | 247 |
| b) Concrete examples | 248 |
| a) Consumer disclosures: personalizing the Consumer Rights Directive | 248 |
| b) Financial disclosures: personalizing MiFID II | 249 |
| 2. Default rules | 250 |
| a) General idea | |
| b) Concrete examples | |
| 3. Mandatory law | |
| a) General idea | |
| b) Concrete examples | |
| aa) Personalizing unfair commercial practices under the UCPD | |
| bb) The benefits of personalization | |
| IV. The limits of personalized behavioral law | |
| The strength of empirical correlations Algorithmic bias and discrimination | 258 |
| V. Good governance of personalized behavioral law | |
| Good governance of personalized behavioral law Privacy respecting metrics | |
| 2. Oversight and algorithmic auditing | 262 |
| VI Conclusion | 263 |
| VI. Conclusion | 203 |
| M. "Smart Contract", "Granular Norms" and Non-Discrimination(Zeno-Zencovich) | |
| I. Only words | 264 |
| II. How "smart" can contracts be? | |
| III. Creditworthiness | |
| IV. "Granular norms" | |
| V. Non-discrimination in the age of big data | 2/8 |
| N. Algorithmic Regulation and (Im)Perfect Enforcement in the Personalized Economy | |
| (Busch) | 279 |
| I. Introduction | |
| II. Big Data and the Crisis of Generalities | |
| III. Making Laws for the Personalized Economy | |
| 1. Granular Legal Norms: The Demise of Typifications? | 281 |
| 2. Use Cases of Personalized Law | |
| a) Personalized Disclosures on Digital Marketplaces | |
| b) De-biasing Customer Ratings in the Sharing Economy | 283 |
| c) Personalized Traffic Rules in the Smart City | 285 |
| 3. Fighting Fire with Fire: Adverse Targeting meets Personalized Law | 286 |
| IV. Governance of Algorithms for Personalized Law | |
| Personalized Law as Algorithmic Regulation Privacy and Choice | |
| 3. Quality of Data and Models | 207 |
| 4. Compliance Monitoring and Algorithmic Auditing | 290 |
| V. Conclusion | |
| | -/- |

List of Authors

Marietta Auer is Director at the Max Planck Institute for European Legal History in Frankfurt am Main and Professor of Private Law and international and interdisciplinary Foundations of Law at the University of Gießen, Germany. She received her doctorate in law and habilitation from the University of Munich (2003, 2012) as well as LL.M. and S.J.D. degrees from the Harvard Law School (2000, 2012). She has published extensively in the fields of private law, legal theory, and philosophy of law. Recent publications include *Der privatrechtliche Diskurs der Moderne* (Tübingen 2014) and *Zum Erkenntnisziel der Rechtstheorie. Philosophische Grundlagen multidisziplinärer Rechtswissenschaft* (Baden-Baden 2018).

Philip Maximilian Bender, LL.M. (Yale Law School), Maître en droit (Paris II/Panthéon-Assas) currently is a Research Associate at the Max Planck Institute for Tax Law and Public Finance in Munich, as well as a Visiting Fellow at the Information Society Project at Yale Law School, and a Lecturer at Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich (LMU). He is qualified for the bar in both Germany and New York. His research focuses on contracts, law & tech, theory of law, and constitutional implications in private law.

Omri Ben-Shahar is Leo and Eileen Herzel Professor of Law, Kearney Director of the Coase-Sandor Institute for Law and Economics at University of Chicago.

Christoph Busch, Maître en droit (Paris X), is Professor of Law at the University of Osnabrück and Visiting Fellow at the Yale Information Society Project at Yale Law School. He is co-chairman of the European Law Institute's Digital Law Group and a member of the European Commission's Expert Group to the EU Observatory on the Online Platform Economy. He is principal investigator of the research project "Granular Society – Granular Law? Individuality and Normative Models in the Data Society" funded by the Volkswagen Foundation under a Momentum Grant (2019–2024).

Tony Casey is Professor of Law and the Faculty Director of the Center on Law and Finance at The University of Chicago Law School. His research examines the intersection of law and finance as well as the effects of technological innovation on legal systems. Before entering academics, Professor Casey was a partner at Kirkland & Ellis LLP. He received his JD from The University of Chicago Law School. After law school, Casey clerked for Chief Judge Joel M. Flaum of the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit.

Alberto De Franceschi is Professor of Private Law, Intellectual Property Law and Environmental Law at the University of Ferrara. He is member of the Italian National University Council, cochairman of the European Law Institute's Digital Law Group, founding member and co-editor of the Journal of European Consumer and Market Law and of The Italian Law Journal. His current research focuses on issues related to the sale of goods, supply of digital content, privacy regulation and artificial intelligence.

Francesco Denozza is Professor Emeritus of Commercial Law at the Faculty of Law of the University of Milan. He was Dean of the Faculty of Law, University of Milan (2012–2014) and President of the Italian Association of University Professors of Commercial Law (2009–2013). He is managing director of Orizzonti del diritto commerciale Online Journal of the Italian Association of University Professors of Commercial Law, co-editor of the Journal Giurisprudenza Commerciale and co-editor of the International Encyclopaedia of Laws – Competition Law/International Encyclopaedia for Competition Law. He is Member of the Milan Bar.

Pasquale Femia is full Professor of Private Law and Dean of the Department of Political Sciences of the Università della Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli". He is co-editor in chief of several journals – among which: *The Italian Law Journal* (Scopus indexed) and *Tecnologie e diritto* – and of the book series: *Il diritto e l'Europa*, *Storie dal fondo*, *La cultura del diritto civile*. His current research interests focus on philological method in private law theory, machine legal thinking, transsubjectivity in commons.

List of Authors

Hans Christoph Grigoleit holds the Chair for Private Law, Commercial Law, Corporate Law and Theory of Private Law at Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich (LMU). His research focuses on corporate governance and finance, information liability, fundamental principles of contract law, theory of legal reasoning and law & tech.

Philipp Hacker, LL.M. (Yale), is Professor of Law and Ethics of the Digital Society at the European New School of Digital Studies, European University Viadrina. Before he was an AXA Postdoctoral Fellow at the Faculty of Law at Humboldt University of Berlin, a Max Weber Fellow at the European University Institute and an A.SK Fellow at WZB Berlin Social Science Center. His research focuses on the intersection of law and technology. In particular, he analyzes the impact of tracking technologies, Artificial Intelligence and the Internet of Things on consumer, privacy and anti-discrimination law. He often cooperates with computer scientists and mathematicians, especially on questions of explainable AI and algorithmic fairness.

Marisaria Maugeri is Professor of Private Law at the University of Catania (Italy). She is on secondment to the Centro Linceo Interdisciplinare Beniamino Segre (Accademia dei Lincei – Roma). Her main research interests are in the field of Consumer, Contract and Business Law in their domestic and European dimensions. Her current work focuses on the implications of the new technologies for commercial and consumer transactions. She is Member of the board of the Italian Association of Law & Economics. She is Chair of the Palermo Panel of the Banking and Financial Ombudsman.

Hans-W. Micklitz is Finland Distinguished Professor, University of Helsinki and Professor for Economic Law, Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies, European University Institute, Florence.

Anthony Niblett is an Associate Professor and Canada Research Chair in Law, Economics, & Innovation at the University of Toronto Faculty of Law. Professor Niblett researches law and economics, contract law, judicial behaviour, innovation, and competition policy. Professor Niblett holds a Ph.D. in economics from Harvard University as well as degrees in law and commerce from the University of Melbourne. Before joining the University of Toronto, he was a Bigelow Fellow at the University of Chicago Law School. In addition to his academic career, Professor Niblett is a cofounder of Blue J Legal, a start-up company bringing machine learning to tax and employment law.

Francesco Paolo Patti is Associate Professor of Private Law at the Bocconi University, Milan. He received an LL.M. from the Westfalische Wilhelms-Universität Münster (2011) and a PhD from the Università degli Studi Sapienza Rome (2014). He was Research Assistant at the European University Institute (2016) and Senior Research Fellow at the Max Planck Institute for Comparative and International Private Law (2018). His research focuses on European and comparative contract law, comparative succession law and autonomous vehicles liability.

Ariel Porat is the president of Tel Aviv University, a member of the Israel Academy of Sciences and the EMET Prize Laureate. In 2002–2006, he was the Dean of TAU Faculty of Law, and from 2003 until his appointment as president, in addition to being a professor at TAU, was the Fischel-Neil Distinguished Visiting Professor of Law at the University of Chicago. He was also a Visiting Professor at the Universities of Berkeley, Columbia, New York, Stanford, Toronto and Virginia. He is the author of several books including Tort Liability under Uncertainty (Oxford University Press, 2001) (with Alex Stein) and Getting Incentives Right (Princeton University Press, 2014) (with Robert Cooter).

Pietro Sirena is full Professor of Civil Law, European Private Law, and Comparative Private Law at Bocconi University, Milan, where he currently serves as Dean of Bocconi Law School. He is furthermore: Member of the Executive Committee of the European Law Institute (ELI); Research Director of the Società Italiana per la Ricerca nel Diritto Comparato (SIRD); Member of the Executive Committee of the Society of European Contract Law (SECOLA); Membre Associé of the Académie Internationale de Droit Comparé (AIDC); President of the Arbitro Bancario Finanziario (ABF) of Rome.

Jacob Lior Strahilevitz is Sidley Austin Professor of Law at University of Chicago.

List of Authors

Christian von Bar has been University Professor at Osnabrück since 1981. Founder and Director of the European Legal Studies Institute (ELSI) since 2003. Holder of the Leibniz Prize; Honorary Master of the Bench, Gray's Inn; Corresponding Fellow of the British Academy. Doctor iuris honoris causa Czestochowa, Helsinki, Katowice, Leuven, Novi Sad, Tartu, Uppsala, Warmia and Mazury University. Chairman of the Study Group on a European Civil Code. Member of the Commission on European Contract Law. Head of various international research groups.

Vincenzo Zeno-Zencovich is full Professor of Comparative Law at the University of Roma Tre. He holds degrees in Law and in Political Sciences from the university of Rome "La Sapienza". He has authored or edited over forty volumes and published over 300 articles, many of which in the field of information and communication technologies. The full-text of his latest publications can be found on SSRN. Since 2013 he is the chairman of the Italian Association of Comparative Law (AIDC).

