

# Table of contents

<b>Preface</b> .....	V
<b>Content summary</b> .....	VII
<b>Table of contents</b> .....	IX
<b>Introduction</b> .....	1
I. Scope of investigation .....	1
II. Structure .....	3
<b>Part 1 Traditional cultural expressions, copyright, the public domain, and the role of retroactivity</b> .....	11
<b>§ 1 Traditional cultural expressions: special treatment of ordinary copyright subject matter?</b> .....	11
<i>A. Working definition of traditional cultural expressions</i> .....	11
<i>B. Historical overview of attempts at protection</i> .....	14
I. Draft Model Copyright Law 1964 .....	14
II. Tunisian Copyright Law 1966 .....	17
III. Stockholm Revision Conference 1967 .....	17
IV. Tunis Model Law on Copyright for developing countries 1976 .....	18
V. Bangui Agreement 1977 and Revised Bangui Agreement 1999 .....	20
VI. WIPO and UNESCO activities .....	21
1. Model Provisions 1982 .....	21
2. Draft Articles 2014 .....	24
VII. South African Intellectual Property Laws Amendment Act 2013 .....	25
<b>§ 2 Copyright: of authors, publishers and the public</b> .....	29
<i>A. Defining copyright</i> .....	29
I. Property, privilege or personhood? .....	29
II. Copyright or author's right? .....	30
<i>B. Justifications</i> .....	32
I. Ex ante justification: incentive for creation and publication .....	33
II. Ex post justifications .....	39
1. Utilitarian justifications .....	39
2. Natural law justifications .....	40
a. Prevention of harm .....	40
b. Just desert .....	41
(1) Fair reward .....	41

(2) Prevention of theft or unjust enrichment . . . . .	42
(a) Locke's labour theory of property . . . . .	42
(b) Applying Locke's labour theory to copyright . . . . .	45
c. Personhood . . . . .	47
(1) Kant: speech, autonomy, and agency . . . . .	48
(2) Hegel: self-actualisation and externalisation of will . . . . .	50
C. <i>Conclusion</i> . . . . .	53
<b>§ 3 The public domain: the good, the bad, or the ugly?</b> . . . . .	55
A. <i>Staking out the public domain.</i> . . . .	55
I. Realms . . . . .	56
II. . . . of freedom . . . . .	59
B. <i>The merits of the public domain</i> . . . . .	60
I. History: flipside to copyright . . . . .	60
II. Tragedy: the evil of the commons . . . . .	63
1. The tragedy of overuse . . . . .	63
a. Plundering the public domain . . . . .	63
b. Devaluation of the public domain . . . . .	65
2. The tragedy of underuse . . . . .	66
3. The tragedy of misuse . . . . .	68
a. Wrong beneficiaries . . . . .	69
(1) Publishers instead of authors? . . . . .	69
(2) Rich instead of poor? . . . . .	69
b. Wrong utilisation . . . . .	70
III. Romance: the fairy tale of the commons . . . . .	72
1. Equal rights and justice . . . . .	72
2. Information wants to be free . . . . .	72
IV. Comedy: a story with a happy outcome . . . . .	75
1. Two sides of the same coin . . . . .	75
2. Balancing exercise or talking point? . . . . .	76
C. <i>Conclusion</i> . . . . .	79
<b>§ 4 Retroactivity: a question of trust</b> . . . . .	81
A. <i>Defining retroactivity.</i> . . . .	82
I. Actual retroactivity . . . . .	82
II. Pseudo-retroactivity . . . . .	85
III. Assessment . . . . .	87
B. <i>Retroactivity in a copyright context.</i> . . . .	89
C. <i>Justifying retroactive copyright protection.</i> . . . .	91
<b>Part 2 Instances of retroactive copyright protection</b> . . . . .	93
<b>§ 5 Actually retroactive copyright legislation</b> . . . . .	95

<i>A.</i>	<i>Compilations for use in churches, schools and teaching</i> . . . . .	95
<i>B.</i>	<i>Transitional provision for owners of related rights</i> . . . . .	95
<b>§ 6</b>	<b>Pseudo-retroactive introduction of new subject matter and new rights</b> . .	99
<i>A.</i>	<i>The first copyright statutes</i> . . . . .	99
<i>I.</i>	The Statute of Anne: protection for authors or publishers? . . . . .	99
	1. Historical background . . . . .	99
	2. Subject matter and rights . . . . .	101
	3. Retroactivity . . . . .	101
	4. Common law copyright? . . . . .	102
	5. Justifications . . . . .	105
<i>II.</i>	Reinterpretation of the Statute of Anne: first copyright protection in the United States. . . . .	106
	1. Lobbying. . . . .	106
	2. Two types of state legislation . . . . .	107
	3. The Copyright Clause in the Constitution and the Federal Copyright Act 1790 . . . . .	109
	<i>a.</i> Subject matter and rights. . . . .	109
	<i>b.</i> Retroactivity . . . . .	109
	<i>c.</i> The public domain . . . . .	110
<i>III.</i>	Separate developments on the Continent: Copyright Act for the German Empire 1870 . . . . .	111
	1. Events leading up to the Act . . . . .	111
	2. Subject matter and rights . . . . .	113
	3. Retroactivity . . . . .	114
<i>B.</i>	<i>Subsequent developments</i> . . . . .	114
<i>I.</i>	England: mainly prospective, with scattered retroactivity . . . . .	115
<i>II.</i>	United States: utilitarian pseudo-retroactivity. . . . .	117
<i>III.</i>	Germany: mostly retroactive. . . . .	117
	1. Artistic Copyright Act 1876 and Photographic Copyright Act 1876 . . . . .	117
	2. Literary and Musical Copyright Act 1901 (LUG) . . . . .	118
	3. Artistic Copyright Act 1907 (KUG). . . . .	118
	4. UrhG 1965 . . . . .	119
<i>IV.</i>	European Union: mandatory retroactivity . . . . .	121
<i>C.</i>	<i>Conclusion</i> . . . . .	123
<b>§ 7</b>	<b>Pseudo-retroactive perpetual copyright, domaine public payant and term extensions</b> . . . . .	125
<i>A.</i>	<i>Pseudo-retroactive perpetual economic rights</i> . . . . .	125
<i>I.</i>	Danish Ordinance of 7 January 1741 . . . . .	125
<i>II.</i>	English Universities Act 1775 . . . . .	126
<i>III.</i>	Peter Pan: a work that never (quite) grew up . . . . .	128
<i>IV.</i>	Assessment . . . . .	128
<i>B.</i>	<i>Pseudo-retroactive perpetual moral rights</i> . . . . .	129

I.	Disclosure . . . . .	130
II.	Attribution . . . . .	131
III.	Integrity . . . . .	132
IV.	Retraction . . . . .	136
V.	Assessment . . . . .	136
C.	<i>Pseudo-retroactive domaine public payant</i> . . . . .	137
I.	Hugo's proposal . . . . .	138
II.	Assessment . . . . .	139
	1. No post mortem auctoris copyright term . . . . .	139
	2. Beneficiaries . . . . .	142
	3. Fund for talented young authors . . . . .	143
	4. Retroactivity . . . . .	144
	5. Types of use . . . . .	144
	6. Conclusion . . . . .	145
D.	<i>Term extensions</i> . . . . .	146
I.	Historical overview . . . . .	147
	1. England (1709/1710-1988) . . . . .	147
	2. Germany (1837-1965) . . . . .	149
	3. European Union (1993-2011) . . . . .	151
	4. United States (1790-1998) . . . . .	153
II.	Illustrative cases . . . . .	155
	1. Butterfly Music . . . . .	155
	2. Sweeney v MacMillan Publishers . . . . .	156
	3. Eldred v. Ashcroft . . . . .	158
	a. Limited times . . . . .	158
	b. Promotion of progress . . . . .	159
	(1) Incentive to create and disseminate . . . . .	159
	(2) Incentive to restore and distribute . . . . .	161
	(3) Structural efficiency . . . . .	162
	c. Congressional precedents . . . . .	163
	d. Fairness considerations . . . . .	164
	e. Domino effect . . . . .	166
	f. Congressional discretion . . . . .	167
III.	Assessment . . . . .	167
	1. Authors . . . . .	167
	2. Publishers . . . . .	173
	3. The public . . . . .	173
	4. Conclusion . . . . .	175
<b>§ 8</b>	<b>Pseudo-retroactive protection of posthumously published works</b> . . . . .	<b>177</b>
A.	<i>England</i> . . . . .	177
B.	<i>United States</i> . . . . .	179
C.	<i>Germany</i> . . . . .	180
I.	Anonymous protection. . . . .	180
II.	Extended copyright . . . . .	181

1. LUG 1901 . . . . .	181
2. UrhG 1965 . . . . .	182
III. Neighbouring right . . . . .	183
1. Publisher's copyright . . . . .	183
2. Publication right . . . . .	185
a. Himmelsscheibe von Nebra . . . . .	187
b. Motezuma . . . . .	189
c. Conclusion . . . . .	191
D. <i>Assessment</i> . . . . .	192
<b>§ 9 Pseudo-retroactively lowering the required level of originality</b> . . . . .	193
A. <i>Of skill, sparks and small change</i> . . . . .	193
I. England: labour, skill and capital? . . . . .	194
1. Fine Art Copyright Act 1862 . . . . .	194
2. <i>Walter v Lane</i> . . . . .	194
3. <i>University of London Press v University Tutorial Press</i> . . . . .	196
4. <i>Interlego v Tyco</i> . . . . .	197
II. United States: sweat or spark? . . . . .	198
1. Sweat of the brow . . . . .	198
2. Creative spark . . . . .	199
III. Germany: small change . . . . .	199
1. Skill in copying? . . . . .	199
2. Personal intellectual creation . . . . .	200
3. Small change or sophistication? . . . . .	201
B. <i>Special cases</i> . . . . .	201
I. Works of applied art . . . . .	202
1. England . . . . .	202
a. Sculptures . . . . .	202
b. Works of artistic craftsmanship . . . . .	204
c. Industrial reproductions of artistic works . . . . .	205
2. United States . . . . .	207
3. Germany . . . . .	209
a. The art of distinction . . . . .	210
b. Implementing the Community Design Directive . . . . .	211
c. Big change for small change? . . . . .	212
II. Invented words, titles and short phrases . . . . .	215
1. England . . . . .	215
2. United States . . . . .	216
3. Germany . . . . .	217
4. European Union . . . . .	219
C. <i>Conclusion</i> . . . . .	219
<b>§ 10 Pseudo-retroactively granting protection to foreign works</b> . . . . .	223
A. <i>From lack of protection to bilateral treaties</i> . . . . .	223

<i>B.</i>	<i>The Berne Convention</i> . . . . .	226
<i>C.</i>	<i>European principle of non-discrimination</i> . . . . .	231
<i>D.</i>	<i>Practical effects of retroactive protection</i> . . . . .	233
I.	Constitutional concerns . . . . .	233
	1. The Berne Convention Implementation Act . . . . .	234
	2. The Uruguay Round Agreements Act . . . . .	238
	a. Provisions . . . . .	238
	b. <i>Golan v. Holder</i> . . . . .	239
II.	Convoluted calculations . . . . .	244
	1. Puccini . . . . .	244
	a. <i>Tosca</i> . . . . .	244
	b. <i>La Bohème</i> . . . . .	245
	2. <i>His Girl Friday</i> . . . . .	247
	3. <i>Kharms</i> . . . . .	250
	4. <i>Tarzan</i> . . . . .	253
III.	Concise clarity . . . . .	255
<i>E.</i>	<i>Conclusion</i> . . . . .	256
<b>Part 3 Protecting traditional cultural expressions</b> . . . . .		259
<b>§ 11 Justifications for the pseudo-retroactive protection of traditional cultural expressions</b> . . . . .		259
<i>A.</i>	<i>Contemporary traditional cultural expressions</i> . . . . .	259
<i>B.</i>	<i>Ancient traditional cultural expressions</i> . . . . .	260
I.	Compensation for copyright? . . . . .	260
II.	Protection of indigenous or traditional communities . . . . .	262
<b>§ 12 Protection within the existing legal framework</b> . . . . .		265
<i>A.</i>	<i>Originality</i> . . . . .	265
<i>B.</i>	<i>Fixation</i> . . . . .	266
<i>C.</i>	<i>Protection of posthumously published works</i> . . . . .	269
<i>D.</i>	<i>Shifting procedural assumptions</i> . . . . .	271
I.	Prima facie infringement . . . . .	272
II.	Presumption of authorship . . . . .	273
<i>E.</i>	<i>Law against false attribution</i> . . . . .	279
<i>F.</i>	<i>Geographical indications and trade marks</i> . . . . .	281
<i>G.</i>	<i>Conclusion</i> . . . . .	283
<b>§ 13 Pseudo-retroactive introduction of sui generis protection.</b> . . . . .		285

A.	<i>Scope of protection: collective perpetual moral rights</i> . . . . .	285
I.	Right of divulgation. . . . .	285
II.	Right of integrity . . . . .	286
III.	Right of attribution . . . . .	286
IV.	Right of retraction . . . . .	287
B.	<i>Duration of rights</i> . . . . .	287
C.	<i>Identification of qualifying traditional cultural expressions.</i> . . . . .	288
D.	<i>Competing claims by different communities.</i> . . . . .	290
E.	<i>Control in the community.</i> . . . . .	292
F.	<i>International application?</i> . . . . .	293
G.	<i>Conclusion</i> . . . . .	294
<b>Summary</b> . . . . .		297
I.	Traditional cultural expressions . . . . .	297
II.	Copyright . . . . .	298
III.	The public domain. . . . .	301
IV.	Retroactivity . . . . .	304
V.	Actually retroactive copyright legislation . . . . .	305
VI.	Pseudo-retroactive introduction of new subject matter and new rights . . . . .	305
VII.	Pseudo-retroactive perpetual copyright, domaine public payant and term extensions . . . . .	307
VIII.	Pseudo-retroactive protection of posthumously published works . . . . .	309
IX.	Pseudo-retroactively lowering the required level of originality . . . . .	311
X.	Pseudo-retroactively granting protection to foreign works. . . . .	313
XI.	Justifications for the pseudo-retroactive protection of traditional cultural expressions . . . . .	315
XII.	Protection within the existing legal framework. . . . .	316
XIII.	Pseudo-retroactive introduction of sui generis protection . . . . .	318
<b>List of abbreviations.</b> . . . . .		321
<b>Bibliography</b> . . . . .		327
<b>List of legislative materials</b> . . . . .		351
I.	England/United Kingdom. . . . .	351
II.	United States. . . . .	354
III.	Germany . . . . .	357
IV.	European Union . . . . .	359
V.	Other jurisdictions . . . . .	360
VI.	International treaties . . . . .	360
VII.	Model laws and draft treaties . . . . .	362
<b>Index</b> . . . . .		363